#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U-60-W), a California corporation, for an order (1) authorizing it to increase rates for water service by \$94,838,100 or 16.5% in test year 2017, (2) authorizing it to increase rates by \$22,959,600 or 3.4% on January 1, 2018, and \$22,588,200 or 3.3% on January 1, 2019, in accordance with the Rate Case Plan, and (3) adopting other related rulings and relief necessary to implement the Commission's ratemaking policies.

Application 15-07-015 Filed July 9, 2015

#### **RULE 3.2 COMPLIANCE FILING OF**

### CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U-60-W)

Darin T. Duncan 1720 North First Street San Jose, California 95112 Phone: (408) 367-8227 Fax: (408) 367-8436 dduncan@calwater.com

> Director of Rates California Water Service Company

NATALIE D. WALES 1720 North First Street San Jose, California 95112 Phone: (408) 367-8566 Fax: (408) 367-8436

nwales@calwater.com

Regulatory Attorney
California Water Service Company

Dated: October 16, 2015

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U-60-W), a California corporation, for an order (1) authorizing it to increase rates for water service by \$94,838,100 or 16.5% in test year 2017, (2) authorizing it to increase rates by \$22,959,600 or 3.4% on January 1, 2018, and \$22,588,200 or 3.3% on January 1, 2019, in accordance with the Rate Case Plan, and (3) adopting other related rulings and relief necessary to implement the Commission's ratemaking policies.

Application 15-07-015 Filed July 9, 2015

# RULE 3.2 COMPLIANCE FILING OF CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U-60-W)

Pursuant to Rule 3.2 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure ("Rules"), California Water Service Company ("Cal Water") respectfully submits that it has complied with the notice requirements of Rule 3.2(b), (c), and (d) regarding Cal Water's Application for Authority to increase rates in its 23 operating districts ("Application") accepted for submission on July 9, 2015.

Sections 3.2(b), (c), (d), and (e) of the Commission's Rules state as follows:

(b) Applicants for authority to increase rates shall, within 20 days after filing the application with the Commission, serve notice to the following stating in general terms the proposed increases in rates or fares: (1) the Attorney General and the Department of General Services, when the State is a customer or subscriber whose rates or fares would be affected by the proposed increase; (2) the County Counsel (or District Attorney if the county has no County Counsel) and County Clerk, and the City Attorney and City Clerk, listed in the current Roster published by the Secretary of State in each county and city in which the proposed increase is to be made

effective; and (3) any other persons whom applicant deems appropriate or as may be required by the Commission.

- (c) Gas, electric, telephone, telegraph, water or heat utilities, within 20 days after the filing of the application, shall publish at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the increases are proposed to be made effective a notice, in general terms, of the proposed increases in rates. Such notice shall state that a copy of said application and related exhibits may be examined at the offices of the California Public Utilities Commission in San Francisco and in such offices of the applicant as are specified in the notice, and shall state the locations of such offices. Applicants shall maintain documentation of compliance with this subsection, and shall provide it to any person upon request.
- (d) Electric, gas, heat, telephone, water, or sewer system corporations, within 45 days, if the corporation operates on a 30-day billing cycle, or within 75 days, if the corporation operates on a 60-day or longer billing cycle, after the filing of an application to increase any rate of charge, other than a change reflecting and passing through to customers only new costs to the corporation which do not result in changes in revenue allocation, for the services or commodities furnished by it, shall furnish to its customers affected by the proposed increase notice of its application either by electronically linking to such notice for customers that receive their bills electronically or, for customers that receive their bills by mail, by mailing such notice postage prepaid or including such notice with the regular bill. The notice shall state the amount of the proposed rate change expressed in both dollar and percentage terms for the entire rate change as well as for each customer classification, a brief statement of the reasons the change is required or sought, and the mailing, and if available, the email, address of the Commission to which any customer inquiries may be directed regarding how to participate in, or receive further notices regarding the date, time, and place of any hearing on the application, and the mailing address of the corporation to which any customer inquiries may be directed.
- (e) Applicants shall file proof of compliance with the notice requirements of subsections (b), (c) and (d) within 20 days after compliance with the last of these subsections that is applicable. Proof of

compliance with subsection (c) shall include a sworn verification listing the newspapers and publication dates, and a sample of each different notice.<sup>1</sup>

Cal Water has complied with the above notice requirements and is submitting this filing as proof of compliance pursuant to subsection (e).

Exhibit A contains the list of state and local officials to whom notice of the Application was sent on July 14, 2015, as required by subection (b). Exhibit B consists of newspaper notice samples and sworn proofs of newspaper publication per Rule 3.2(c). As reflected in the affidavits in Exhibit C regarding compliance with subsection (d), notices of the Application were provided via U.S. Mail to customers with paper bills, and were made available electronically to customers enrolled in e-billing.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October 2015, at San Jose California:

Respectfully submitted,
CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY

By: /s/

Natalie D. Wales Regulatory Attorney

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CPUC Rules of Practice and Procedure at 27-28 (dated April 1, 2014).

## Ехнівіт А

Distric	t County	Entity	Name	Title	Street/PO	City	State	Zip
AV	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Andrea Sheridan Ordin	County Counsel	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
AV	County of Los Angeles	City of Lancaster	Allison Burns	City Attorney	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
				Registrar-Recorder /				
AV	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Dean C. Logan	County Clerk	12400 Imperial Highway	Norwalk	CA	90650
AV	County of Los Angeles	City of Lancaster	Geri K. Bryan	City Clerk	44933 North Fern Ave	Lancaster	CA	93534
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of San Carlos	Christine Boland	City Clerk	600 Elm St.	San Carlos	CA	94070
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of San Carlos	Gregory Rubens	City Attorney	600 Elm St.	San Carlos	CA	94070
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of Daly City	K. Annette Hipona	City Clerk	333 - 90th St.	Daly City	CA	94015
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of South San Francisco		City Clerk	400 Grand Ave.	South San Francisco	CA	94080
BAY	County of San Mateo	Town of Colma	Laura Allen	City Manager / City Clerk	1198 El Camino Real	Colma	CA	94014
BAY	County of San Mateo	San Mateo County	Mark Church	Recorder	400 County Center	Redwood City	CA	94063-1665
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of San Mateo	Patrice Olds	City Clerk	330 W. 20th Ave.	San Mateo	CA	94403
BAY	County of San Mateo	Town of Colma	Roger Peters	City Attorney	1198 El Camino Real	Colma	CA	94014
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of Daly City	Rose Zimmerman	City Attorney	333 - 90th St.	Daly City	CA	3.021
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of San Mateo	Shawn Mason	City Attorney	330 W. 20th Ave.	San Mateo	CA	94403
BAY	County of San Mateo	San Mateo County	Stephen Loagstaffe	District Attorney	400 County Center	Redwood City	CA	94063-1665
BAY	County of San Mateo	San Mateo County	Stephen Loagstaffe	District Attorney	400 County Center	Redwood City	CA	94063-1665
BAY	County of San Mateo	City of South San Francisco		City Attorney	400 Grand Ave.	South San Francisco	CA	94080
BG	County of San Mateo	City of Woodside	Janet Koelsch	Town Clerk	2955 Woodside Rd.	Woodside	CA	94062
BG	County of San Mateo	Town of Atherton	Kathi Hamilton	City Clerk (Acting)	91 Ashfield Rd.	Atherton	CA	94027
				Assessor-County Clerk-				
BG	County of San Mateo	San Mateo County	Mark Church	Recorder	400 County Center	Redwood City	CA	94063-1665
BG	County of San Mateo	City of Woodside	NONE LISTED	Town Attorney	2955 Woodside Rd.	Woodside	CA	94062
		- CO			707.0	5		0.4000
BG	County of San Mateo	Town of Portola Valley	Sandy Sloan	Town Attorney	765 Portola Rd.	Portola Valley	CA	94028
BG	County of San Mateo	Town of Portola Valley	Sharon Hanlon	Town Clerk			CA	
BG	County of San Mateo	City of Menlo Park	Silvia Vonderlinden	City Clerk	701 Laurel St.	Menlo Park	CA	94025
	,	,		,				
BG	County of San Mateo	City of Menlo Park	William McClure	City Attorney	701 Laurel St.	Menlo Park	CA	94025
BG	County of San Mateo	Town of Atherton	Wynne Furth	Town Attorney	91 Ashfield Rd.	Atherton	CA	94027
ВК	County of Kern	Kern County	Ann K. Barnett	Auditor-Controller-Clerk	1115 Truxtun Ave.	Bakersfield	CA	93301-4639
ВК	County of Kern	Kern County	Ann K. Barnett	Auditor-Controller-Clerk	1115 Truxtun Ave.	Bakersfield	CA	93301-4639
ВК	County of Kern	City of Bakersfield	Roberta Gafford	City Clerk	1600 Truxtun Ave.	Bakersfield	CA	93301

District	County	Entity	Name	Title	Street/PO	City	State	Zip
DI	Carratura of Maria	Kana Causaha	Thomas A. Coldner	Causty Causasi	1115 Tourtur Aug Ath Floor	Dala safial d	6.4	02204
BK	County of Kern	Kern County	Theresa A. Goldner	County Counsel	1115 Truxtun Ave., 4th Floor	Bakerstieid	CA	93301
ВК	County of Kern	City of Bakersfield	Virginia "Ginny" Gennaro	City Attorney	1600 Truxtun Ave., Fourth Fl	Bakersfield	CA	93301
СН	County of Butte	Butte County	Bruce Alpert	County Counsel	25 County Center Dr., Suite 2	Oroville	CA	95965
CH	County of Butte	Butte County	Candace Grubbs	County Clerk - Recorder	25 County Center Dr., Suite 1	Oroville	CA	95965-3375
CH	County of Butte	City of Chico	Vince Ewing	City Attorney	PO Box 3420	Chico	CA	95927
CH	County of Butte	City of Chico	Debbie Presson	City Clerk	PO Box 3420	Chico	CA	95927
				Treasurer/Tax				
DIX	County of Solano	Solano County	Charles Lomelli	Collector/County Clerk	675 Texas St., Suite 6500	Fairfield	CA	94533
DIX	County of Solano	Solano County	Dennis Bunting	County Counsel	675 Texas St., Suite 6600	Fairfield	CA	94533
DIX	County of Solano	City of Dixon	Douglas White	City Attorney	600 East A St.	Dixon	CA	95620
DIX	County of Solano	City of Dixon	Suellen Johnston	City Clerk	600 East A St.	Dixon	CA	95620
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Compton	Alita Godwin	City Clerk	205 S. Willowbrook Ave.	Compton	CA	90220
DOM	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Andrea Sheridan Ordin	County Counsel	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Compton	Craig J. Cornwell	City Attorney	205 S. Willowbrook Ave.	Compton	CA	90220
				Registrar-Recorder /				
DOM	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Dean C. Logan	County Clerk	P.O. Box 1024	Norwalk	CA	90651-1024
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Carson	Helen S. Kawagoe	City Clerk	701 E. Carson St./Mailing: PC	Carson	CA	90745
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Torrance	John Fellows	City Attorney	3031 Torrance Blvd.	Torrance	CA	90503
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Long Beach	Larry G. Herrera	City Clerk	333 W. Ocean Blvd.	Long Beach	CA	90802
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Long Beach	Charles Parkin	City Attorney	333 West Ocean Boulevard -	Long Beach	CA	90802
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Torrance	Sue Herbers	City Clerk	3031 Torrance Blvd.	Torrance	CA	90503
DOM	County of Los Angeles	City of Carson	William W. Wynder	City Attorney	701 E. Carson St./Mailing: PC	Carson	CA	90745
ELA	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Andrea Sheridan Ordin	County Counsel	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
ELA	County of Los Angeles	City of Montebello	Arnold Alvarez-Glasman	City Attorney	1600 W. Beverly Blvd.	Montebello	CA	90640
				Registrar-Recorder /				
ELA	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Dean C. Logan	County Clerk	P.O. Box 1024	Norwalk	CA	90651-1024
ELA	County of Los Angeles	City of Commerce	Eduardo Olivio	City Attorney (Interim)	2535 Commerce Way	Commerce	CA	90040
ELA	County of Los Angeles	City of Commerce	Linda Kay Olivieri	City Clerk	2535 Commerce Way	Commerce	CA	90040
ELA	County of Los Angeles	City of Montebello	Daniel Hernandez	City Clerk	1600 W. Beverly Blvd.	Montebello	CA	90640
HR	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Andrea Sheridan Ordin	County Counsel	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
				Registrar-Recorder /				
HR	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Dean C. Logan	County Clerk	P.O. Box 1024	Norwalk	CA	90651-1024

Distric	t County	Entity	Name	Title	Street/PO	City	State	Zip
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Hermosa Beach	Elaine Doerfling	City Clerk	1315 Valley Dr.	Hermosa Beach	CA	90254
			51		44.5.01			
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Redondo Beach	Eleanor Manzano	City Clerk	415 Diamond St.	Redondo Beach	CA	90277
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Torrance	John Fellows	City Attorney	3031 Torrance Blvd.	Torrance	CA	90503
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Hermosa Beach	Mike Jenkins	City Attorney	1315 Valley Dr.	Hermosa Beach	CA	90254
					·			
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Redondo Beach	Mike Webb	City Attorney	415 Diamond St.	Redondo Beach	CA	90277
HR	County of Los Angeles	City of Torrance	Sue Herbers	City Clerk	3031 Torrance Blvd.	Torrance	CA	90503
KC	County of Monterey	Monterey County	Charles McKee	County Counsel	168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor	Salinas	CA	93901
KC	County of Monterey	City of King City	Erica Sonne	City Clerk	212 So. Vanderhurst Ave.	King City	CA	93930
KC .	County of Wionterey	City of King City	Erica Soriiic	City Citric	212 30. Validel lidist Ave.	King City	CA	93930
KC	County of Monterey	City of King City	Roy Hanley	City Attorney	212 So. Vanderhurst Ave.	King City	CA	93930
_		0 7	, ,	Assessor-County Clerk-		0 7		
KC	County of Monterey	Monterey County	Stephen L. Vagnini	Recorder	168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor		CA	
KRV	County of Kern	Kern County	Theresa A. Goldner	County Counsel	1115 Truxtun Ave., 4th Floor	r Pakarefiold	CA	93301
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Cupertino	Carol Atwood	City Attorney	10300 Torre Ave.	Cupertino	CA	95014-3202
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Sunnyvale	Joan Borger	City Attorney	456 W. Olive Ave.	Sunnyvale	CA	94086
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Cupertino	Grace Schmidt	City Clerk	10300 Torre Ave.	Cupertino	CA	95014-3202
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Mountain View	Jannie Quinn	City Attorney	500 Castro St.	Mountain View	CA	94041
LAS	County of Santa Clara	Town of Los Altos Hills	Karen Jost	Town Clerk	26379 Fremont Rd.	Los Altos Hills	CA	94022
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Sunnyvale	Kathleen Franco Simmons	City Clerk	PO Box 3707	Sunnyvale	CA	94088-3707
L, 10	Country of Surfea Clara	Gity of Summy vale	Nacincent rance emineral	Oity Gierra	1 C BOX 37 C7	Samyvaic	C/ t	31000 3707
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Los Altos	Lee Price	City Clerk	One North San Antonio Rd.	Los Altos	CA	94022
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Mountain View	Lorrie Brewer	City Clerk	PO Box 7540	Mountain View	CA	94041
LAS	County of Santa Clara	Santa Clara County	Orry P Korb	County Counsel	70 West Hedding St., 9th Flo	San Jose	CA	95110
				City Attorney (P/T Contract				
LAS	County of Santa Clara	City of Los Altos		Position)	One North San Antonio Rd.	Los Altos	CA	94022
LAS	County of Santa Clara	Santa Clara County	Regina M. Alcomendras	County Clerk-Recorder	70 West Hedding St., 1st Flo		CA	95110
LAS	County of Santa Clara	Town of Los Altos Hills	Steven Mattas	Town Attorney	26379 Fremont Rd.	Los Altos Hills	CA	94022
LIV	County of Alameda	Alameda County	Donna Ziegler	County Counsel	1221 Oak Street, Suite 536	Oakland	CA	94612
LIV	County of Alameda	City of Livermore	John Pomidor	City Attorney			CA	
	6		Dataial OlCar "	Carrette Clark D	1406 NA 11 61		6.	0.455=
LIV	County of Alameda	Alameda County	Patrick O'Connell	County Clerk-Recorder	1106 Madison St.	Oakland	CA	94607
LIV	County of Alameda	City of Livermore	Susan Gibbs	City Clerk (Interim)	1052 S. Livermore Ave.	Livermore	CA	94550
MRL	County of Yuba	City of Marysville	Billie Fangman	City Clerk	526 C St.	Marysville	CA	95901

District	County	Entity	Name	Title	Street/PO	City	State	Zip
MRL	County of Yuba	Yuba County	Patrick McGrath	District Attorney			CA	
MRL	County of Yuba	City of Marysville	Seth Merewitz	City Attorney	526 C St.	Marysville	CA	95901
MRL	County of Yuba	Yuba County	Terry A. Hansen	County Clerk-Recorder	433 2nd St	Yuba City	CA	95991
ORO	County of Butte	Butte County	Bruce Alpert	County Counsel	25 County Center Dr. Suite 2	Oroville	CA	95965
ORO	County of Butte	Butte County	Candace Grubbs	County Clerk - Recorder	25 County Center Dr., Suite	1 Oroville	CA	95965-3375
ORO	County of Butte	City of Oroville	Dwight Moore	City Attorney	1735 Montgomery St.	Oroville	CA	95965
ORO	County of Butte	City of Oroville	Sharon Atteberry	Deputy City Clerk	1735 Montgomery St.	Oroville	CA	95965
PV	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Andrea Sheridan Ordin	County Counsel	500 West Temple St.	Los Angeles	CA	90012
			Burke, Williams & Sorensen					
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rolling Hills Estates	LLP	City Attorney	4045 Palos Verdes Dr. North	Rolling Hills Estates	CA	90274
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rancho Palos Verdes	Carla Morreale	City Clerk	30940 Hawthorne Blvd.	Rancho Palos Verdes	CA	90275
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rancho Palos Verdes	Carol Lynch	City Attorney	30940 Hawthorne Blvd.	Rancho Palos Verdes	CA	90275
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Palos Verdes Estates	Christi Hogin	City Attorney	340 Palos Verdes Dr West	Palos Verdes Estates	CA	90274
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Lomita	Christi Hogin	City Attorney	PO Box 339, Lomita CA 9071	Lomita	CA	90717
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rolling Hills	Craig Nealis	City Manager/City Clerk	2 Portuguese Bend Rd.	Rolling Hills	CA	90274
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Lomita	Sandra Medina	City Clerk	PO Box 339, Lomita CA 9071	Lomita	CA	90717
				Registrar-Recorder /				
PV	County of Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Dean C. Logan	County Clerk	P.O. Box 1024	Norwalk	CA	90651-1024
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rolling Hills Estates	Douglas Prichard	City Manager / City Clerk	4045 Palos Verdes Dr. North	Rolling Hills Estates	CA	90274
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Palos Verdes Estates	Vickie Kroneberger	City Clerk	340 Palos Verdes Dr West	Palos Verdes Estates	CA	90274
PV	County of Los Angeles	City of Rolling Hills	Mike Jenkins	City Attorney	2 Portuguese Bend Rd.	Rolling Hills	CA	90274
RDV - C	County of Marin	Marin County	Edward S. Berberian	District Attorney	3501 Civic Center Dr., Room	San Rafael	CA	94903
				County Clerk/Registrar of				
RDV - C	County of Marin	Marin County	Michael Smith	Voters	P.O. Box C	San Rafael	CA	94913
RDV - LI	County of Lake	Lake County	Anita Grant	County Counsel	255 North Forbes St.	Lakeport	CA	95453
				County Clerk-Auditor-				
RDV - LI	County of Lake	Lake County	Cathy Saderlund	Controller	255 North Forbes St.	Lakeport	CA	95453
				County Clerk-Recorder-				
	County of Sonoma	Sonoma County	William Rousseau	Assessor	2300 County Center Dr., Suit		CA	95403
SEL	County of Fresno	Fresno County	Kevin B. Briggs	County Counsel (Interim)	2220 Tulare St., Fifth Floor	Fresno	CA	93721
SEL	County of Fresno	City of Selma	Reyna Rivera	City Clerk	1710 Tucker St.	Selma	CA	93662

SLN County of Monterey County Charles McKee County County 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor Salinas CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey County Charles McKee County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk County of Monterey County Stephen L. Vagnini Recorder 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor CA Salinas CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. 8x 1968 Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin Stockton CA 95201 STK County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Of Under City of Visalia Mke Olmos City of Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn City of Williows Natale Butler City Clerk District Mill County of Glenn City of Williows NoNE LISTED County of Glenn City of	District	County	Entity	Name	Title	Street/PO	City	State	Zip
SEL County of Fresno County  County of Monterey  City of Salinas  Ann Camel  City Clerk  County of Monterey  Monterey County  Monterey	SEL	County of Fresno	City of Selma	Neal Costanzo	City Attorney	1710 Tucker St.	Selma	CA	93662
SLN County of Monterey County Charles McKee County County 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor Salinas CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey County Charles McKee County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk Assessor-County Clerk County of Monterey County Stephen L. Vagnini Recorder 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor CA Salinas CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. 8x 1968 Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin Stockton CA 95201 STK County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Of Under City of Visalia Mke Olmos City of Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn City of Williows Natale Butler City Clerk District Mill County of Glenn City of Williows NoNE LISTED County of Glenn City of					County Clerk-Registrar of				
SLN County of Monterey Monterey County Charles McKee County Counsel Assessor County Clerk- SLN County of Monterey Monterey County Stephen L. Vagnini Recorder 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor Salinas CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey County Stephen L. Vagnini Recorder 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor CA 93901 SLN County of Monterey City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton Bonnie Paige City Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st I Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County Menneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. Box 1968 Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95202 STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202 STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202 STK County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291 STC County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291 STC County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder City of Visalia CA 93291 STR County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos Can City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St., Visalia, CVVsalia CA 93291 STR County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk STR County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk STR County of Glenn County Shorty Thur County Counsel Side Willows CA 95988 STR County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk STR County of Glenn Glenn County Shorty Thur County Counsel Side Willows CA 95988 STR County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk Str County of Str County Of Str County Clerk Str County Clerk Str Count	SEL	County of Fresno	Fresno County	Victor E. Salazar	Voters	2221 Kern St.	Fresno	CA	93721
SLN County of Monterey  Monterey County  Stephen L Vagnini  Recorder  168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor  CA  93901  STK County of Monterey  City of Salinas  Vanessa W. Vallarta  City Attorney  200 Lincoln Ave.  Salinas  CA  93901  STK County of San Joaquin  San Joaquin County  Kenneth W. Blakemore  Assessor/Recorder/Clerk  Assessor/Recorder/Clerk  P.O. Box 1968  Stockton  CA  95201  STK County of San Joaquin  City of Stockton  John Luebberke  City Attorney  425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st Ifstockton  CA  95201  STK County of San Joaquin  City of Stockton  John Luebberke  City Attorney  425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton  CA  95201  STK County of San Joaquin  City of San Joaquin County  David Wooten  County Counsel  44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton  CA  95202  VIS County of Tulare  City of Visalia  Alex Peltzer  City Attorney  425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301  Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Tulare  County  County of Tulare  County  Phillip J. Cline  District Attorney  221 S Mooney Ave., County Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Tulare  County  County of San Joaquin  County of Glenn  Glenn County  Huston T. Carlyle, Jr.  County Counsel  S25 W. Sycamore St.  Will County of Glenn  City of Willows  Natalie Butler  City Clerk  Ca  VIS County of Glenn  City of Willows  Natalie Butler  City Clerk  County County of Glenn  City of Willows  Natalie Butler  City Clerk  County of Glenn  City of Willows  NoNE LISTED  Candry Of Glenn  City of Willows  NoNE LISTED  Candry Of Glenn  City of Willows  NoNE LISTED  Candry Of Glenn  City of Westlake Village  Beth Schottl  City Clerk  County Of Los Angeles  City Of Westlake Village  Beth Schottl  City Clerk  County Of Los Angeles  City Of Westlake Village  Beth Schottl  City Clerk  County Of Ventura  Ventura County  Ventura  City of Thousand Oaks  Linda D. Lawrence  City Clerk  P.O. Box 1024  Norwalk  Can 99309-1260  Norwalk  Can 99309-1260	SLN	County of Monterey	City of Salinas	Ann Camel	City Clerk	200 Lincoln Ave.	Salinas	CA	93901
SLN County of Monterey Monterey County Stephen L Vagnini Recorder 168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor CA 93901  SLN County of Monterey City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901  STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton Bonnie Paige City Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. Box 1968 Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floo Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202  STK County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St., Visalia, C/Visalia CA 95291  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED County of Glenn City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 95938  WLK County of Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel Soo West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260	SLN	County of Monterey	Monterey County	Charles McKee	County Counsel	168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor	Salinas	CA	93901
County of Monterey City of Salinas Vanessa W. Vallarta City Attorney 200 Lincoln Ave. Salinas CA 93901 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton Bonnie Paige City Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95201 STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95202 STK County of San Joaquin STK STK County of San Joaquin STK STK County of San Joaquin STK STK County of San Joaquin STK STK STK STK STK STK STK STR STR STK STK STK STR					Assessor-County Clerk-				
STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton Bonnie Paige City Clerk 425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st I Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. Box 1968 Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202  STK County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C/Visalia CA 93291  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butter City County Counsel S25 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn Gity of Willows None Listed In Cuty County Of Glenn Gity of Willows None Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn Gity of Willows None Listed In County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WILK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Willage Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Willage CA 91361  WILK County of Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 91361  WILK County of Los Angeles County One City of Westlake Willage CA 91361  WILK County of Los Angeles County One County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	SLN	County of Monterey	Monterey County	Stephen L. Vagnini	Recorder	168 West Alisal St. 3rd Floor		CA	
STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. Box 1968 Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel Sou West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Bath Schott City Clerk 2001 Visonand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk 2001 Vientura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	SLN	County of Monterey	City of Salinas	Vanessa W. Vallarta	City Attorney	200 Lincoln Ave.	Salinas	CA	93901
STK County of San Joaquin County Kenneth W. Blakemore Assessor/Recorder/Clerk P.O. Box 1968 Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95201  STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel Sou West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Bath Schott City Clerk 2001 Visonand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk 2001 Vientura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95202  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WILL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  VIL County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED CA CA  WILL County of Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WILK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel SOW West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 901361  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County County County County Of Ventura County County Of Ventura Canny Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura Cunty Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	STK	County of San Joaquin	City of Stockton	Bonnie Paige	City Clerk	425 N. El Dorado Street, 1st	Stockton	CA	
STK County of San Joaquin City of Stockton John Luebberke City Attorney 425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Floc Stockton CA 95202  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WILL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  VIL County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED CA CA  WILL County of Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WILK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel SOW West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 901361  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County County County County Of Ventura County County Of Ventura Canny Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura Cunty Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C/Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel S25 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED Canty of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles Can 90012 WIIK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 Registrar-Recorder / Centry of Clerk P. O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura Can 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	STK	County of San Joaquin	San Joaquin County	Kenneth W. Blakemore	Assessor/Recorder/Clerk	P.O. Box 1968	Stockton	CA	95201
STK County of San Joaquin San Joaquin County David Wooten County Counsel 44 N. San Joaquin St., Sixth F Stockton CA 95202 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C/Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel S25 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn City of Willows None LISTED Canty of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 VIIL County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles Can 90012 WIIK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 Registrar-Recorder / Centry of Clerk P. O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura Can 93009-1260 WIIK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, CV Visalia CA 93291  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	STK	County of San Joaquin	City of Stockton	John Luebberke	City Attorney	425 N. El Dorado St. 2nd Flo	Stockton	CA	
VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Alex Peltzer City Attorney 425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301 Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Phillip J. Cline District Attorney 221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291  VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, CV Visalia CA 93291  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
VIS County of Tulare  Tulare County  Roland Hill  Assessor-Clerk-Recorder  VIS County of Tulare  Tulare County  Roland Hill  Assessor-Clerk-Recorder  City of Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Tulare  City of Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Tulare  City of Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Tulare  City of Visalia  Mike Olmos  City Manager/Clerk  707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia  CA  93291  VIS County of Glenn  Glenn County  Huston T. Carlyle, Jr.  County Counsel  525 W. Sycamore St.  Willows  CA  95988  WIL County of Glenn  City of Willows  NONE LISTED  CA  WIL County of Glenn  City of Willows  NONE LISTED  CA  WILL County of Glenn  Glenn County  Sheryl Thur  Clerk-Recorder  S16 West Sycamore St.  Willows  CA  95988  WLK County of Los Angeles  Los Angeles  CA  95988  WLK County of Los Angeles  City of Westlake Village  Beth Schott  City Clerk  COunty Counsel  S00 West Temple St.  Los Angeles  CA  90012  WLK County of Ventura  City of Thousand Oaks  Tracy Noonan  City Attorney  City Clerk  P.O. Box 1024  Norwalk  CA  9309-1260  WLK County of Ventura  Ventura County  Mark A. Lunn  County Clerk-Recorder  800 S. Victoria Ave.  Ventura  CA  9309-1260	STK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C/Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel S25 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA WILL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA WILL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 95988 WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	VIS	County of Tulare	City of Visalia	Alex Peltzer	City Attorney	425 E. Oak Street, Suite 301	Visalia	CA	93291
VIS County of Tulare Tulare County Roland Hill Assessor-Clerk-Recorder 221 South Mooney Blvd. Visalia CA 93291 VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C/Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel S25 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA WILL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA WILL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988 WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 95988 WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	VIS	County of Tulare	Tulare County	Phillip J. Cline	District Attorney	221 S Mooney Ave., Courtho	Visalia	CA	93291
VIS County of Tulare City of Visalia Mike Olmos City Manager/Clerk 707 W. Acequia St, Visalia, C Visalia CA 93291 WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Huston T. Carlyle, Jr. County Counsel 525 W. Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260									
WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA 95988  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows Natalie Butler City Clerk CA CA  WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA CA  WIL County of Glenn Glenn County Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Counsel City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk 200 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Ventura Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260			-			-			
WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED CA Sheryl Thur Clerk-Recorder S16 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel S00 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 930909-1260			· ·						
WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	WIL	County of Glenn	Glenn County	Huston T. Carlyle, Jr.	County Counsel	525 W. Sycamore St.	Willows	CA	95988
WIL County of Glenn City of Willows NONE LISTED Clerk-Recorder 516 West Sycamore St. Willows CA 95988  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012  WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361  WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Ventura Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		0 , (0)	C' CACH	Nadalia Dudlan	City of Claude				
WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012 WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		'	'		City Cierk				
WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Andrea Sheridan Ordin County Counsel 500 West Temple St. Los Angeles CA 90012 WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 Registrar-Recorder / County of Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93099-1260		'	<u>'</u>		Clark Danamatan	516.144 + 6	14.011		25222
WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 Registrar-Recorder / WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	WIL	County of Glenn	Glenn County	Sneryi inur	Cierk-Recorder	516 West Sycamore St.	WIIIOWS	CA	95988
WLK County of Los Angeles City of Westlake Village Beth Schott City Clerk 31200 Oak Crest Dr. Westlake Village CA 91361 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 Registrar-Recorder / WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	14/1 K	County of Los Angeles	Los Angolos County	Androa Charidan Ordin	County Councel	FOO West Temple St	Los Angolos	CA	00013
WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Tracy Noonan City Attorney 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  Registrar-Recorder / County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-	•			_
Registrar-Recorder / County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
WLK County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County Dean C. Logan County Clerk P.O. Box 1024 Norwalk CA 90651-1024 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	VVLK	County of Ventura	City of Thousand Oaks	Tracy Noorian		2100 Hlousallu Oaks Bivu.	Tilousaliu Oaks	CA	91302
WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Gregory D. Totten District Attorney 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260 WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362 WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260	\\/\ \K	County of Los Angolos	Los Angolos County	Dean C Logan	-	B O Box 1024	Norwalk	CA	00651 1024
WLK County of Ventura City of Thousand Oaks Linda D. Lawrence City Clerk 2100 Thousand Oaks Blvd. Thousand Oaks CA 91362  WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		, ,		_					
WLK County of Ventura Ventura County Mark A. Lunn County Clerk-Recorder 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura CA 93009-1260		-	•						
	VVLIN	County of Ventura	City of Thousand Oaks	Linua D. Lawience	City Cierk	2100 Hilousaliu Oaks Bivu.	THOUSAITU Oaks	CA	31302
	WIK	County of Ventura	Ventura County	Mark A. Lunn	County Clerk-Recorder	800 S Victoria Ave	Ventura	СА	93009-1260
	WLK	County of Los Angeles	City of Westlake Village	Terence Boga	City Attorney	31200 Oak Crest Dr.	Westlake Village	CA	91361

## Ехнівіт В

### PROOF OF PUBLICATION

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Monterey

I am a citizen of the United States and a Resident of the County aforesaid: I am Over the age of eighteen years and not a Party to or interested in the above-Entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of The King City Rustler, Greenfield News, Soledad Bee, and Gonzales Tribune newspapers of general Circulation by The Superior Court of the County of Monterey, State of California: that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspapers and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

## 7/29/2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct.

Executed on: <u>7/29/2015</u>

At King City, California

VALERIE GEML, OPFICE ASSISTANT

This space is for the county clerk's filling
stamp
•
No:



#### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor Hame al número (831) 385-5486

#### Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its King City District Application No. 15-07-015

PROO

ALIFORNIA Monterey

of the United States and a E County aforesaid: I am f eighteen years and not a erested in the abover. I am the principal clerk of The King City Rustler, ws, Soledad Bee, and une newspapers of genera The Superior Court of th nterey, State of California of which the annexed is set in type not smaller tha s been published in each tire issue of said id not in any supplement following dates, to wit:

eclare) under penalty of e forgoing is true and

7/29/2015

California

ML, OPFICE ASSISTA

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the King City District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### he Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Salinas and King City Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Salinas and King City) of \$9,719,981, or 31.0%, for 2017, \$1,856,802, or 4.5%, for 2018 and \$1,847,595, or 4.3%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$13,424,378 or 42.8%.

### King City Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	97,050	6.4	72,914	4.5	90,015	4.3
*Nonresidential Metered Service	99,175	6.4	74,582	4.5	92,074	4.3

<sup>\*</sup>Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its King City District of \$761,462, or 23.2%, for 2017, \$263,563, or 6.5%, for 2018 and \$262,993, or 6.1%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,287,418 or 39.2%

#### King City Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	494,643	32.9	132,927	6.6	129,880	6.1
*Nonresidential Metered Service	507,182	32.9	135,622	6.6	132,513	6.1

<sup>\*</sup>Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Salinas and King City Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in King City with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### King City Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	55.43	3.57	6.4	2.67	4.5	2.66	4.3

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### King City Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	' 2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	55.43	18.20	32.8	5.00	6.8	4.79	6.1

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the King City District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 5.9% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 73.8% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 20.2% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 1301

Broadway Street, Suite A-3, King City CA 93930 (831) 385-5486, An electropic or poor copy of raid

par and entire issue of said spapers and not in any supplement spot on the following dates, to wit:

## <u>/2015</u>

tify (or declare) under penalty of any that the forgoing is true and ect.

outed on: 7/29/2015

Ling City, California

ERIE GEML, OPFICE ASSIST

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Salinas and King City Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in King City with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### King City Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 <b>\$</b> Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	55.43	3.57	6.4	2.67	4.5	2.66	4.3

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### King City Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	55.43	18.20	32.8	5.00	6.8	4.79	6.1

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the King City District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 5.9% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 73.8% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 20.2% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 1301 Broadway Street, Suite A-3, King City, CA 93930, (831) 385-5486. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

#### Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

#15 Publish: 7/29/15

**Tri-Valley Herald** 

127 Spring Street Pleasanton, CA 94566

2003193

CALIF. NEWSPAPER SVC. BILLING DEPT. PO BOX 60460 LOS ANGELES, CA 90060

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION FILE NO. 2778518

In the matter of

#### **Tri-Valley Herald**

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the Legal Advertising Clerk of the printer and publisher of the Tri-Valley Herald, a newspaper published in the English language in the City of Livermore, County of Alameda, State of California.

I declare that the Tri-Valley Herald is a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California as determined by court decree dated September 16, 1947, Case Number 205370 and modified November 19, 1973, Case Number 240625. Said decree states that the Tri-Valley Herald is adjudged to be a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Livermore, County of Alameda and State of California. Said order has not been revoked.

I declare that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

8/1/2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: August 3, 2015

Public Notice Advertising Clerk

\* A Q Q Q Q Q Q 3 8 5 2 4 2 1 +

0005538673

Legal No.

1

#### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (925) 447-4900 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Livermore District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Livermore District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Livermore District of \$2,364,079, or 11.7%, for 2017, \$539,046, or 2.4%, for 2018 and \$538,225, or 2.3%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$3,441,350 or 17.1%.

#### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	820,269	5.5	403,789	2.6	374,651	2.3
*Nonresidential Metered Service	359,448	5.5	176,296	2.6	163,574	2.3

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Typical Customer Impact
The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 11,969 gallons (16 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	68.35	3.70	5.4	1.82	2.5	1.72	2.3

Reasons for Increase

Call Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Livermore District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following

- 33.6% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 44.8% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.6% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 195 South N Street, Livermore, CA 94550, (925) 447-4900. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

The CPUC's Process
This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

<u>Stay Informed</u>
The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below. Email: <a href="mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov">public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</a>

Write:

CPUC Public Advisor's Office

505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone:

1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff. CNS#2778518

## **San Jose Mercury News**

4 N. 2nd Street, Suite 800 San Jose, CA 95113 408-920-5332

2003193

CALIF. NEWSPAPER SVC. BILLING DEPT. PO BOX 60460 LOS ANGELES, CA 90060

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION IN THE CITY OF SAN JOSE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

**FILE NO. 2778478** 

In the matter of

#### San Jose Mercury News

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That at all times hereinafter mentioned affiant was and still is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled proceedings; and was at and during all said times and still is the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the San Jose Mercury News, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published daily in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara, State of California as determined by the court's decree dated June 27, 1952, Case Numbers 84096 and 84097, and that said San Jose Mercury News is and was at all times herein mentioned a newspaper of general circulation as that term is defined by Sections 6000; that at all times said newspaper has been established, printed and published in the said County and State at regular intervals for more than one year preceding the first publication of the notice herein mentioned. Said decree has not been revoked, vacated or set aside.

I declare that the notice, of which the annexed is a true printed copy, has been published in each regular or entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

8/1/2015

Dated at San Jose, California August 3, 2015

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the San Jose Mercury News

Legal No.

0005538854



# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (650) 917-0152 Notification of Application Filed by Çalifornia Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Los Altos District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Los Altos District in years 2017-2019. Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Los Altos District of \$7,421,282, or 28.3%, for 2017, \$754,463, or 2.2%, for 2018 and \$592,457, or 1.7%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$8,768,201 or 33.5%.

#### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	1,881,627	8.5	631,052	2.6	432,993	1.7
*Nonresidential Metered Service	757,915	8.5	232,406	2.6_	159,464	1.7

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

#### **Typical Customer Impact**

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Cus- tomer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	96.98	8.01	8.3	2.69	2.6	1.86	1.7

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Los Altos District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- . 55.3% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 31.1% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 13.6% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 949 B Street, Los Altos, CA 94024, (650) 917-0152. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <a href="mailto:ora.gov">or visit ORA's website at <a href="mailto:http://ora.gov/default.aspx">http://ora.gov/default.aspx</a>.

#### Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: Write: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone:

1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference **Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015** in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#2778478

## PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.P.)

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Santa Clara

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid: I am over the age of eighteen years, and not party or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of

## Los Altos Town Crier

138 Main Street, Los Altos, California, a newspaper of general circulation, printed every Wednesday in the city of Los Altos, California, County of Santa Clara; and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Santa Clara, State of California. Case Number 328150; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than non-pareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

all in the year of20	015
I certify (or declare) und the foregoing is true and	der penalty of perjury that l correct.
Dated at Los Altos, Cali	ifornia, this
5th day of August, 2015	
em :	
signature LR(StM	, 2 Haldan

Anomet 5



## Para más información sobre este aviso por favor liame al número (650) 917-0152

## Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Los Altos District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Los Altos District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (CRC) application. (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Los Altos District of \$7,421,282, or 28.3%, for 2017, \$754,463, or 2.2%, for 2018 and \$592,457, or 1.7%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$8,768,201 or 33.5%.

#### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	1,881,627	8.5	631,052	2.6	432,993	1.7
*Nonresidential Metered Service	757.915	8.5	232,406	2.6	159,464	1.7

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

#### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cai Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Tvoical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	96,98	8.01	8.3	2.69	2.6	1.86	1.7

#### Reasons for increase

Call Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Los Altos District, Call Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 55.3% of the increase is for projected water supply costs 31.1% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 13.6% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that may be between CPIC test users. that are in between GRC test years

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 949 B Street, Los Aitos, CA 94024, (650) 917-0152. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@opuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.csp/">http://ora.ca.gov/default.csp/</a>

#### Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email:

public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone:

1-866-849-8390 (toil-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

## APPEAL-DEMOCRAT

1530 Ellis Lake Drive, Marysville, CA 95901 \* (530) 749-4700

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA \* Counties of Yuba and Sutter

I am not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of THE APPEAL-DEMOCRAT, a newspaper of general circulation, printed & published in the City of Marysville, County of Yuba, to which Newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by The Superior Court of the County of Yuba, State of California under the date of November 9, 1951, No. 11481, and County of Sutter to which Newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Sutter, State of California under the date of May 17, 1999, Case No.CV PT99-0819. The Notice, of which the annexed is a copy, appeared in said newspaper on the following dates:

August 3, 2015

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

August 3, 2015

Date

Signature

California Newspaper Service Bureau

CNS# 2778297

COPY:

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (530) 742-6911

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Marysville District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilifies Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Marysville District in years 2017-2019, Cal Wa-ter has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation - If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows) of \$6,545,081, or 20.3%, for 2017, \$676,337, or 1.7%, for 2018 and \$960,412, or 2.4%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$8,181,830 or

Marysville Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service) to of Service Provided 2017 \$ % 2018 \$ % 2019 \$ %

Tipe of our vice Florided	~011		2010 4	70	2013 4 70	ı
The state of the s	Increase		Increase		Increase	
Residential Metered Service	110,132	6.4	34,684	1.7	57,451 2.4	
Residential Flat Rate Service	38,377	6.4	1.733	1.7	2.871 2.4	
*Nonresidential Metered Service	88,513	6.4	26.820	1.7	44.425 2.4	:
*Nonresidential Metered Service	applies to all	custom	er classes th	at are not	residential (such as	
business, multi-family, and public						

Without Consolidation If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Marysville District of \$593,654, or 16.3%, for 2017, \$77,574, or 1.8%, for 2018 and \$41,081, or 1.0%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$712,309 or 19.6%.

tabili Libati ki kabibaha bilan	14 - 17 E 2 E	_ 1.5	Harrier Taranta de Maria		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Marysville Est	imated Revenue	Increases '	without Consolid	ation (by	type of seri	ricel .
m contract		004# 4			type of ser	, 2001
Type of Service	Provided .	2017 \$	% 2018 <b>\$</b>	%	2019 \$	9%
그러리 하시엄마, 하시나 생활성과		T		2.5	<b>-</b>	7

	Increase	Increase		11	Increase	
Residential Metered Service	376,764	23.8 98,763	4.2		22,950	1.0
Residential Flat Rate Service	142,004	23.8 5.544	4.2		1.288	1.0
*Nonresidential Metered Service	295,461	23.8 72,479	4.2	111,5	16.842	1.0
*Nonresidential Metered Service a	pplies to all	customer classes tha	it are	not r	esidential	(such as

business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff. The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if

Cal Water's pro-posed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.



The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's pro-posed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Marysville with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 6,732 gallons [9 Ccf] of water per month, and a flat-rate service customer with a lot size between 6,001 and 10,000, would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Marysville Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation									
	Residential Cust	omei	Current \$	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
		12N 81	7. 540 6.4	Increase		Increase		Increase	
	5/8" x 3/4" meter		39.21	2.53	6.4	0.72	1.7	1.03	2.4
٠.,	Flat-rate	,	77.90	10.22	13.1	1.54	1.7	2.18	2.4

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8° x 3/4° meter using 6,732 gallons [9 Ccf] of water per month, and a flat-rate service customer with a lot size between 6,001 and 10,000 square feet, would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Marysville Typical Residentia	l Customer	Bill Increase witho	ut Consolidation
Residential Customer Current \$	2017 \$	% 2018 \$ <sup>1</sup>	% 2019 \$ %
	Increase	Increase	Increase
5/8" x 3/4" meter 39.21	8.66	22.1 2.04	1.3 0.48 1.0
Flat-rate 77.90	37.81	48.5 4.92	1.2 1.15

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives: For the Marysville District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

\* 3.5% of the increase is for projected water supply costs

• 96.5% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal. Water's office, located at 131 D Street, Marysville, CA 95901, (530) 742-6911. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California. Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Eviden-tiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-ex-amination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below. Bmail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 505 Van Ness Avenue 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282 San Francisco, CA 94102

### California Newspaper Service Bureau®

Daily Journal Corporation Public Notice Advertising Since 1934 Tel 1-800-788-7840 - Fax 1-800-474-9444

Local Offices and Representatives in: Los Angeles, Santa Ana, San Diego, Riverside/San Bernardino, San Francisco, Oakland, San Jose, Sacramento Special Services Available in Phoenix

#### DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to any or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

# **MERCURY-REGISTER** On the following dates: August 4, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

Signature

#### 2778296

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it"



## Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Oroville District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates providing water service. To justify this Increase for the Croville Distrity years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs format CPUC proceeding, called 46 seneral Rate Case (GRC) applicat

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every thin years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of provides reserved. As part of its GRC, Call Water has proposed consolidation of the cost of provides and water handling for its Choo, Maryswild, Coville, and Water Chooling, and water and covered and water than the control of the c

With Consolidation — If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Call Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Chico, Marysville, Croville, and Williaws) of \$5,55,081, or 20,3%, for 2017, \$675,337, or 1.7%, for 2018 and \$901,421, or 24% for 2018. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$5,181,830 c 26.4%.

#### Oraville Estimated Revenue increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	132,204	6.4	35,743	1.7	50,470	2.4
*Nonresidential Metered Service	185,006	6.4	58,666	1.7	82,840	2.4

'Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is not approved. Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Oroxide District of \$829,409, or 18.2%, for 2011, 3.148,96.4 or 2.8%, for 2018 and \$112,764, or 2.0%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,091,126 or 24.0%.

#### Oraylie Estimated Revenue increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2019 \$ /ncrease	%
Residential Metered Service	185,876	9.8	-9,528	-0.4	49,826	2.0
*Nonresidential Metered Service	292,591	9.8	-12,036	-0.4	62,938	2.0

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

if Cel Water's proposed consolidation of the Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Oroville with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Cef) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Oroville Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer 5/8" x 3/4" meter	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	63,91	4,12	6,4	-1.74	-2,6	1,61	2.4

If Cai Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

## Oroville Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential	Current	2017 \$		2018 \$		2019 \$	0/
Residential Customer 5/8" x 3/4" meter	\$	Increase	76	Increase	76	increase	70
5/8" v 3/4" meter	63 91	5.72	Q A	-0.20	54	1 30	20

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Oroville District, Cali Water's requested Increase reflects some of the following components:

- 3.5% of the increase is for projected water supply costs 96.5% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- In the filing, Call Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Call Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation microdiology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Call Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Call Water's office, located at 1905 High Street, Orovitle, CA 95965, (590) 533-4034. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Call Water upon written request to Calfornia Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 550 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

#### The CPUC's Process

The EPUC's Process
This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other releted documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its doctsion. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After concileding all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

decided at a screduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a parly of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review
this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the
CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned rulkily
customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with
reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinery staff with
reparties in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other
parties of record will also participate in the CPUC as proceeding to consistent
this application. For more information about CRA, please call (415) 7031584, e-mail <u>dres@coulc.ca.gov</u> or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://cra.ca.gov/defaul.sabs/">http://cra.ca.gov/defaul.sabs/</a>.

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">wmich allows you to follow this proceeding.</a> if you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

#### Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office

VYTIG: CFUC PUBLIC AVINSO'S CITICG 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC state.



# PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### County of Monterey

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of The Salinas Californian, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily except Sunday in the City of Salinas, County of Monterey and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Monterey. State of California; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type no smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

August 4, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 4, 2015

at Salinas, California.





## Notice of Application Filing for a General Rate Increase in the Salinas District

(Application No. 15-07-015)

Order Number: 2779881

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (831) 757-3644

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Salinas District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Salinas District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Salinas and King City Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Salinas and King City) of \$9,719,981, or 31.0%, for 2017, \$1,856,802, or 4.5%, for 2018 and \$1,847,595, or 4.3%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$13,424,378 or 42.8%.

#### Salinas Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	5,225,300	33.1	1,084,314	5.1	926,773	4.3
*Nonresidential Metered Service	4,181,424	33.1	864,308	5.1	738,732	4.3

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Salinas District of \$8,958,519, or 31,9%, for 2017, \$1,583,644, or 4,3%, for 2018 and \$1,576,698, or 4,1%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,118,861 or 43,2%.

#### Salinas Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ % Increase	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	4,773,215 30.3	1,019,460	4.9	10,449,192	4.1
*Nonresidential Metered Service	3,827,909 30.3	813,597	4.9	8,339,146	4.1

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Salinas and King City Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Salinas with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using <u>9.725</u> gallons (<u>13</u> Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Salinas Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%				
5/8" x 3/4" meter	48.25	15.99	33.1	3.30	5.1	2.91	4.3				

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted **without consolidation**, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. **These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.** 

#### Salinas Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	48.25	14.61	30.3	3.11	4.9	2.69	. 4.1

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Salinas District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

5.0% of the increase is for projected water supply costs

the following dates, to-wit:

August 4, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 4, 2015

at Salinas, California.

Saimas Estimated Revenue moreago with Dollar

ľ	Type of Service Provided	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase_	%
	Residential Metered Service	5,225,300	33.1	1,084,314	5.1	926,773	4.3
	*Nonresidential Metered Service	4.181.424	33.1	864,308	5.1	738,732	4.3

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Salinas District of \$8,958,519, or 31.9%, for 2017, \$1,583,644, or 4.3%, for 2018 and \$1,576,698, or 4.1%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,118,861 or 43.2%.

Salinas Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	4,773,215	30.3	1,019,460	4.9	10,449,192	4.1
*Nonresidential Metered Service	3,827,909	30.3	813,597	4.9	8,339,146	4.1

<sup>\*</sup>Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Salinas and King City Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Salinas with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9.725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Salinas Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur-	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019\$	%
	rent \$	Increase		Increase		Increase	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	48.25	15.99	33.1	3.30	5.1	2.91	4.3

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted **without consolidation**, the bill of a typical residential customer with a  $5/8^\circ$  x  $3/4^\circ$  meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. **These numbers do not include surcharges and credits**.

#### Salinas Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	48.25	14.61	30.3	3.11	4.9	2.69	.4.1	1

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Salinas District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 5.9% of the increase is for projected water supply costs 73.8% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements 20.2% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 254 Commission Street, Salinas, CA 93901, (831) 757-3644. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <a href="mailto:ora@cpuc.ca.gov">ora@cpuc.ca.gov</a> or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx">http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx</a>.

#### Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public\_advisor@cpuc\_ca\_gov Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074
Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#277988

#### \*\*\* Proof of Publication \*\*\*

The Sentinel Lee Central California Newspapers P.O. Box 9 Hanford, CALIFORNIA 93232 PHONE 888-790-0915 Sentinel\_Finance@lee.net

California Newspaper Service Bureau-Legals PO Box 60460 Los Angeles, CA 90060

ORDER NUMBER

49386

Publication- The Selma Enterprise/The Kingsburg Recorder

State of California

County of Fresno

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county forsaid, I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a part to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of The Selma Enterprise/The Kingsburg Recorder, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the city of Selma and Kingsburg, County of Fresno, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the superior court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of July 8, 1952, case number 86769(Selma), and September 20, 1953, case number 84716 (Kingsburg).

That I know from my own personal knowledge the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said nespaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

PUBLISHED ON: 08/05/2015

Please

See

Attocheo

FILED ON:

- 8/5/2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Fresno County, California

This Day

Signature

<u> 151 . Jel 2</u>

#### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor Hame al número (559) 896-4546

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Selma District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Selma District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Selma District of \$1,243,429, or 24.6%. for 2017, \$140,797, or 2.2%, for 2018 and \$138,403, or 2.2%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,522,629 or 30.1%.

#### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

١	Type of Service Provided	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 S	6/
ŀ	Type of Service Frovidea	Increase	70	Increase	, 70	Increase	/0
l	Residential Metered Service	1,188,484	34.6	113,674	2.4	102,275	2.2
ı	Residential Flat Rate Service	3,172	, 34.6	N/A**	N/A**	N/A**	N/A**
•	*Nonresidential Metered Service	424,667	34.6	40,154	2.4	36,128	2.2

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

#### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her will if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 16,457 gallons (22 Ccf) of water per month and a flat-rate service customer with a lot size between 6,001 and 10,000 square feet. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential	Current	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	0/	2019\$	0/
Customer	3	Increase	70	Increase	%	Increase	70
5/8" x 3/4" meter	49.52	17.11	34.6	1.63	2.4	1.47	2.2
Flat-rate	66.53	22.99	34.6	2.18	2.4	1.97	2.2

Reasons for increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water ervice have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Selma District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 1.7% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 67.9% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 30.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent infl cion factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified,

inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for these years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 2042 Second Street, Selma, CA 93662, (559) 896-4546. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to crossexamination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multidisciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <u> Secrets agov</u> or visit ORA's website at https://da.com.id/lagitage-

#### Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc co.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: politicad de giglep sp.co ¿

Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the Publish: 8/5/15 assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Flat-rate customers will be converted to metered billing.

## PROOF OF PUBLICATION NOTICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

## THE UNDERSIGNED SAYS:

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of San Joaquin County; I am over the age of 18 years and not a part to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal legal advertising clerk of the printer of THE RECORD, a newspaper of general publication, printed and published daily in the City of Stockton, County of San Joaquin and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Stockton and the County of San Joaquin by the Superior Court of the County of San Joaquin, State of California, under the date of February 26, 1952, File No. 52857, San Joaquin County Records; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates,

To wit: August 5, 2015

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on: August 5, 2015 In Stockton, California.

Carlette Schnell, Legal Adv. Clerk The Record Newspaper

#### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (209) 547-7900

## Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Stockton District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Stockton District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Stockton District of \$8,857,113, or 24.3%, for 2017, \$3,186,977, or 7.0%, for 2018 and \$3,175,797, or 6.5%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$15,219,888 or 41.7%.

#### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ increase	%
Residential Metered Service	4,072,054	18.8	1,869,451	7.2	1,804,343	6.5
*Nonresidential Metered Service	3,116,065	18.8	1,420,942	7.2	1,371,454	6.5

Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a

#### Typical Customer impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 8,977 gallons (12 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

	Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%	
- [	5/8" x 3/4" meter	42.72	8.05	18.8	3.68	7.2	3.56	6.5	ı.

Call Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Stockton District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 20.7% of the increase is for projected water supply costs 61.1% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 18.2% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified. inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 1505 East Sonora Street, Stockton, CA 95205, (209) 547-7900. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPCC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPLIC Commissioners may sponger an alternate decision and the sieue will be it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application; ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email:

public advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office

CPUC Public Advisor's Omice 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

#### Visalia Newspapers, Inc.

P.O. Box 31, Visalia, CA 93279 559-735-3200 / Fax 559-735-3210

### Certificate of Publication

State Of California ss: County of Tulare

Advertiser:

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERV/TUL

915 E FIRST ST

LOS ANGELES

CA 90012

Order # 0000630278

RE: Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (559) 624-1600

Accounting Clerk, for the below mentioned newspaper(s), am over the age of 18 years old, a citizen of the United States and not a party to, or have interest in this matter. I hereby certify that the attached advertisement appeared in said newspaper on the following

Newspaper: Visalia Times Delta

8/4/2015

I acknowledge that I am a principal clerk of said paper which is printed and published in the City of Visalia, County of Tulare, State of California. The Visalia Times Delta was adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation on July 25, 2001 by Tulare County Superior Court Order No. 41-20576. The Tulare Advance Register was adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation on July 25, 2001 by Superior Court Order No. 52-43225.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2015 in Visalia, California.

Declarant



7179976

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (559) 624-1600

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Visalia District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Visalia District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Visalia District of \$7,218,599, or 26.9%, for 2017, \$1,190,647, or 3.5%, for 2018 and \$806,582, or 2.3%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$9,215,829 or 34.3%.

	Estimated R	evenue	Increases (by type	of serv	rice)	
Type of Service Provided	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
[ ''	Increase		Increase		Increase	
Residential Metered Service	2,694,732	14.7	438,533	2.0	512,743	2.3
*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,674,906	14.7	251,312	2.0	293,840	2.3

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multifamily, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 14,213 gallons (19 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

,		Typical	Residentia	al Customer Bil	l Increase	<u> </u>	
Residential Customer	Current	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
	\$	Increase		Increase		Increase	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	35.11	5.05	14.4	0.80	2.0	0.94	2.3

Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Visalia District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

8.8% of the increase is for projected water supply costs

57.9% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

33.3% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 216 North Valley Oaks Drive, Visalia, CA 93292, (559) 624-1600. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

cided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA. please

party to, or have interest in this matter, i hereby certify that the attached advertisement appeared in said newspaper on the following

Newspaper: Visalia Times Delta

8/4/2015

I acknowledge that I am a principal clerk of said paper which is printed and published in the City of Visalia, County of Tulare, State of California. The Visalia Times Delta was newspaper adjudicated of general circulation on July 25, 2001 by Tulare County Superior Court Order No. 41-20576. The Tulare Advance Register was adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation on July 25. 2001 by Superior Court Order No. 52-43225.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_Acg\_ in Visalia, California.

\$7,218,599, or 26.9%, for 2017, \$1,190,647, or 3.5%, for 2018 and \$806,582, or 2.3%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$9,215,829 or 34.3%.

		Estimated R	tevenue Incre	ases (by type	e of service)		
	Type of Service Provided	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
		Increase		Increase		Increase	
	Residential Metered Service	2,694,732		438,533	2.0	512,743	2.3
:	*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,674,906	14.7	251,312	2.0	293,840	2.3
	Lake the state of the first terms of the state of the sta	12	- 11		<b></b>	-1-11-1 /	'

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multifamily, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a  $5/8" \times 3/4"$  meter using 14,213 gallons (19 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

*		Typical	Residentia	al Customer Bil	I Increase	9	
Residential Customer	Current	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
	\$	Increase		Increase		Increase	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	35.11	5.05	14.4	0.80	2.0	0.94	2.3

Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Visalia District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

8.8% of the increase is for projected water supply costs

57.9% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

33.3% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 216 North Valley Oaks Drive, Visalia, CA 93292, (559) 624-1600. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.
As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independ-

ent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx. Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below. mail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

CPUC Public Advisor's Office Write: 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

Publish: August 4, 2015

#630278

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

## **GLENN COUNTY TRANSCRIPT**

130 N. Butte Street, Willows, CA 95988 \* (530) 749-4700

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA \* County of Glenn

I am not a party to, nor interested in the matter noticed. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of GLENN COUNTY TRANSCRIPT,

A newspaper of general circulation in the City of Willows, County of Glenn, State of California, to which Newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper by The Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Glenn under date of January 18, 1952, Case Number 9076.

A newspaper of general circulation in the City of Orland, County of Glenn, State of California, to which Newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper by The Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Glenn under date of December 8, 1961, Case Number 9048.

The Notice, of which the annexed is a copy, appeared in said newspaper on the following dates:

**August 5, 2015** 

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

August 5, 2015

Signature

California Newspaper Service Bureau

CNS-2779924

#### COPY:

Date

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (530) 934-4735

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Willows District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Willows District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation - If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows) of \$6,545,081, or 20.3%, for 2017, \$676,337, or 1.7%, for 2018 and \$960,412, or 2.4%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$8,181,830 or 25.4%.

#### Willows Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

 Type of Service Provided Increase
 2017 \$ %
 2018 \$ %
 2019 \$ %

 Increase
 Increase
 Increase
 Increase

 Residential Metered Service
 116,279 6.4
 32,157 1.7
 55,736 2.4

 \*Nonresidential Metered Service
 41,221 6.4
 15,342 1.7
 26,592

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Willows District of \$974,688, or 41.5%, for 2017, \$47,945, or 1.4%, for 2018 and \$109,011, or 3.2%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,131,644 or 48.2%.

#### Willows Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

 Type of Service Provided Increase
 2017 \$ %
 2018 \$ %
 2019 \$ %

 Increase Residential Metered Service
 574,169
 34.8
 13,941
 0.6
 69,699
 3.2

\*Nonresidential Metered Service 283,586 34.8 7,863 0.6 39,312 3.2

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.



The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

**Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation** 

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Chico, Marysville, Oroville, and Willows Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Willows with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Willows Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Custo	mer	Current	\$	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
	Increase	•	Increase	•	Increase	•			
5/8" x 3/4" meter	59.86	3.86	6.4	1.01	1.6	1.58	2.4		

Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Ĉal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Willows Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

willows Typical I	<i>tesiaentie</i>	n Custoni	CI DILL III	CICUSC WI	mout co.	monitanti	/A.A		
<b>Residential Custo</b>	mer	Current	\$	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	2019 \$	%
	Increase		Increase		Increase				
5/8" x 3/4" meter	59.86	18.95	31.7	0.44	0.6	2.56	3.2		

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Willows District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 3.5% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 96.5% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 1070 West Wood Street, Suite A-1, Willows, CA 95988, (530) 934-4735. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

GCT - August 5, 2015 Ad #00183307

## Daily Breeze

21250 HAWTHORNE BLVE, STE 170 \* TORRANCE CALIFORNIA 90503-4077 Direct: (310) 543-6635 Fax: (310) 316-6827

#### PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(201 5.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the THE DAILY BREEZE
a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published
in the City of Torrance* County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of
June 10, 1974
Case Number SWC7146 that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement there of on the following dates, to-wit
August 1,
all in the year 2015
the foregoing is true and correct.  Dated at
California, this 1 August 2015

\*The Daily Breeze circulation includes the following cities: Carson, Compton, Culver City, El Segundo, Gardena, Harbor City, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Lomita, Long Beach, Manhattan Beach, Palos Verdes Peninsula, Palos Verdes, Rancho Palos Verdes, Rancho Palos Verdes Estates, Redondo Beach, San Pedro, Santa Monica, Torrance and Wilmington

# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (310) 257-1400 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Palos Verdes District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Palos Verdes District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application
The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley) of \$6,500,956, or 14.0%, for 2017, \$3.119,937, or 5.9%, for 2018 and \$3.146,647, or 5.6%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,767,540 or 27.6%.

#### Palos Verdes Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service		16.8	4,782,560	12.2	2,310,792	5.6
*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,558,222	16.8	1,309,737	12,2	632,827	5,6
*Nonresidential Metered Service business, multi-family, and public	applies to all cu authority custom	stome ers) a	or classes that ar nd do not have a	e no sepa	t residential (such	

Without Consolidation — If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Palos Verdes District of \$5,541,121, or 12.6%, for 2017, \$3,233,349, or 6.5%, for 2018 and \$3,233,434, or 6.1%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,007,904 or 27.4%.

#### Palos Verdes Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase %
Residential Metered Service	5,167,073	15.4	2,905,156	7.5	2,535,843 6.1
*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,433,210	15.4	799,187	7.5	697,591 6.1
451 11 11 14 14 15 1 10 11					

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Palos Verdes with a 5/8° x 3/4" meter using 17.205 pallons (23 Cdf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Palos Verdes Typical Residential Customer Bilt Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer			%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%			
5/8" x 3/4" meter	108.56	18.19	16.8	15.46	12.2	8.00	5.6			
*										

Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer
with a 5/8' x 3/4" meter using 17,205 gallons (23 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those
below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

#### Palos Verdes Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	108.56	16.71	15.4	9.39	7.5	8.27	6.1

Reasons for Increase
Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Palos Verdes District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses.

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's

ffice, located at 2632 West 237th Street, Torrance, CA 90505, (310) 257-1400. An electronic or

paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written

request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598.

Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van

Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

The CPUC's Process
This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc. ca.gov or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.asox">http://ora.ca.gov/default.asox</a>.

Stav Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can perticipate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: <u>upblic.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u>
Write: <u>CPUC Public Advisor @cpuc.ca.gov</u>
Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074
Write: <u>CPUC Public Advisor's Office</u>
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Please reference Call Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff. CNS#2779141



# Certificate of Publication

Ad #633666

In Matter of Publication of:

**Public Notice** 

State of California)

))§

County of Ventura)

I, Maria Rodriguez, hereby certify that the Ventura County Star Newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of California, County of Ventura within the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California, printed in the City of Camarillo, for circulation in the County of Ventura, State of California; that I am a clerk of the printer of said paper; that the annexed clipping is a true printed copy and publishing in said newspaper on the following dates to wit:

Aug. 5, 2015

I, Maria Rodriguez certify under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this Aug. 05, 2015; in Camarillo, California, County of Ventura.

Maria Rodriguez

Mile

(Signature)



#### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (805) 497-2757

## Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Westlake District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Westlake District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Westlake District of \$1,585,744, or 9.1%, for 2017, \$161,941, or 0.8%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,909,826 or 10.9%.

Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	1,236,981	10.6	147,260	1.2	105,582	6.5
*Nonresidential Metered Service	541,011	10.6	73,710	1.2	52,849	6.5
Reclaimed Metered Service	51,335	7.2	5,175	0.7	3,710	0.5

<sup>\*</sup>Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

#### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 21,694 gallons (29 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	144.77	11.33	7.8	1.21	8.0	1.32	0.8

#### Reasons for increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Westlake District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 22.3% of the increase is for projected water supply costs
- 55.9% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.7% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filling, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 2524 Townsgate Road, Suite A, Thousand Daks, CA 91361, (805) 497-2757. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

#### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all origant of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utilify customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <a href="mailto:ora@cpuc.ca.gov">ora@cpuc.ca.gov</a> or visit ORAs website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx">http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx</a>.

#### Stay Informed

Phone:

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: <u>public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u>
Write: <u>CPUC Public Advisor's Office</u>

CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-886-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cat Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for

CNS#2779953

review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.



Home

(To view Invoices and Proofs you must have Acrobat Reader Installed) Invoice | Proof of Pub | 🖨

#### Your Order is sent.

**New Order** 

**Copy Order** 

**Order Lookup** 

**Order Tracking** 

Open [0] Ready [0] Sent [0]

**Newspapers** 

**Accounting** 

**Reports** 

**Reset Password** 

Help

**Customer Information** 

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO. **Master Id Customer Name** 73179

Address 1720 NORTH FIRST STREET Phone 4083678239

City **SAN JOSE Fax** 

State - Zip CA - 95112

Ad Placement Information: Section of Newspaper and Type of Notice

Legal GOVERNMENT - DISPLAY PUBLIC NOTICE

**Order Information** 

**Billing Reference Attention Name** HANH LE/JAMES POLANCO No.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A **GENERAL RATE INCREASE OF THE Ad Description** Sale/Hrg/Bid Date -

DOMINGUEZ-SOUTH BAY DISTRICT

(APPLICATION NO. 15-07-015) Special

**Orders Created** 

**Instructions** 

Order	Newspaper	Publishing	А	d	Price	
No.	Name	Dates	Depth	Line Count	Price	
2780026	THE DAILY BREEZE	08/05/2015	9.501000	-	\$887.68	

## AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

s

County of Los Angeles

# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A GENERAL RATE INCREASE AV FREMONT VALLEY/LAKE HUGHES DIST CNS2779955

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Antelope Valley Press, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Palmdale, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California under date of October 24, 1931, Case Number 328601; Modified Case Number 657770 April 11, 1956; also operating as the Ledger-Gazette adjudicated a legal newspaper June 15, 1927, by Superior Court decree No. 224545; also operating as the Desert Mailer News, formerly known as the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster. State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714. Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

## August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Signature

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California



77404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870 The space above for filing stamp only

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (800) 680-1160

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

#### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley) of \$6,500,956, or 14.0%, for 2017, \$3,119,937, or 5.9%, for 2018 and \$3,146,647, or 5.6%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,767,540 or 27.6%.

Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	.%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	22,368	6.4	21,836	5.9	31,876	5.6
						_

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District of \$150,694, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$4,345, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$4,364, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$159,403 or 41.5%.

#### Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ In- crease	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	187,620	54.0	8,706	1.6	4,364	0.8

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 5,236 gallons (7 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	82.12	3.25	4.0	3,17	3.7	4.98	5.6

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 5,236 gallons (7 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

## Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ * Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	82.12	27.26	33.2	1.27	1.2	0.90	0.8

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Conv of the Application

the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California under date of October 24, 1931, Case Number 328601; Modified Case Number 657770 April 11, 1956; also operating as the Ledger-Gazette adjudicated a legal newspaper June 15, 1927, by Superior Court decree No. 224545; also operating as the Desert Mailer News, formerly known as the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles. State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster. State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714 Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

## August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California

Palleri Priss

37404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870

14.0%, for 2017, \$3,119,937, or 5.9%, for 2018 and \$3,146,647, or 5.6%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,767,540 or 27.6%.

Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	.%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	22,368	6.4	21,836	5.9	31,876	5.6

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District of \$150,694, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$4,345, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$4,364, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$159,403 or 41.5%

#### Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$	%	2018 \$ In-	0/	2019 \$	0/
Type of Service Provided	Increase	. 70	crease	70	Increase	70
General Metered Service	187.620	54.0	8 706	1.6	4 364	0.8

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

#### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 5,236 gallons (7 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. **These** numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%					
5/8" x 3/4" meter	82.12	3.25	4.0	3.17	3.7	4.98	5.6					

#### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a  $5/8^{\circ}$  x  $3/4^{\circ}$  meter using 5.236 gallons (7 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

## Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur- rent \$	2017 \$ * Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	82.12	27.26	33,2	1.27	1.2	0.90	0.8

#### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Antelope Valley - Fremont Valley/Lake Hughes District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017 The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

#### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 5015 West Avenue L-14, Unit 2, Quartz Hill, CA 93536, (800) 680-1160. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting. at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the As a party of record, the United to Ratepayer Advocates (URA) will review thin supplication. CRAIs the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <a href="mailto:orange.google. or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc. <u>ca gov</u> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: <u>public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u>
Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

San Francisco, CA 94102 Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#2779955

# AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Los Angeles

# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A GENERAL RATE INCREASE AV LANCASTER DIST

CNS2779957

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Antelope Valley Press, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Palmdale, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under date of October 24, 1931, Case Number 328601; Modified Case Number 657770 April 11, 1956; also operating as the Ledger-Gazette, adjudicated a legal newspaper June 15, 1927, by Superior Court decree No. 224545; also operating as the Desert Mailer News, formerly known as the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster, State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714, Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

# August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Signature

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California



Paller Pross

37404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870

The space above for filing stamp only

### Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (800) 680-1160

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Antelope Valley - Lancaster District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Antelope Valley - Lancaster District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley) of \$5,500,956, or 14.0%, for 2017, \$3,119,937, or 5.9%, for 2018 and \$3,146,647, or 5.6%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,767,540 or 27.6%.

Antelope Valley - Lancaster Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	80,655	6.4	78,941	5.9	91,067	5.6
*Nonresidential Metered Service	10,822	6.4	10,464	5.9	12,072	5.6

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as usiness, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tari

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - Lancaster District of \$487,596, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$14,058, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$14,120, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$515,774 or 41.5%.

# Antelope Valley - Lancaster Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	407,059	34.9	23,392	1.5	12,838	8.0
*Nonresidential Metered Service	40,811	34.9	2,336	1.5	1,282	8.0

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

# Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - Lancaster with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 20.945 gallons (28 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

# Antelope Valley - Lancaster Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	138.21	8.90	6.4	8.69	5.9	8.76	5.6

# Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 20,945 gallons (28 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

# Antelope Valley - Lancaster Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	138.21	48.23	34.9	2.77	1.5	1.53	0.8

# Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Antelope Valley -Lancaster District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

adjudicated a legal newspaper June 15, 1927, by Superior Court decree No. 224545; also operating as the Desert Mailer News, formerly known as the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster, State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714, Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

# August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Signature

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California

Valleti Pross

37404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	80,655	6.4	78,941	5.9	91,067	5.6
*Nonresidential Metered Service	10,822	6.4	10,464	5.9	12,072	5.6

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - Lancaster District of \$487,596, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$14,058, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$14,120, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$515,774 or 41.5%.

# Antelope Valley - Lancaster Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	407,059	34.9	23,392	1.5	12,838	0.8
*Nonresidential Metered Service	40,811	34.9	2,336	1.5	1,282	0.8

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - Lancaster with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 20.945 gallons (28 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Antelope Valley - Lancaster Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	138.21	8.90	6.4	8.69	5.9	8.76	5.6

### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer white a 5 % 3 3/4" meter using 20,945 gallons (28 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

### Antelope Valley - Lancaster Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	138.21	48,23	34.9	2.77	1.5	1.53	0.8

### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Antelope Valley -Lancaster District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 5015 West Avenue L-14, Unit 2, Quartz Hill, CA 93536, (800) 680-1160. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Flies Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc. this application. For more information about ORA, please cagov or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx">http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx</a>.

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: <u>public\_advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u> Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file. or this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#2779957

# AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

 $\left. \right\}_{s}$ 

County of Los Angeles

# NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A GENERAL RATE INCREASE AV LEO DIST CNS2779960

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Antelope Valley Press, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Palmdale, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under date of October 24, 1931, Case Number 328601; Modified Case Number 657770 April 11, 1956; also operating as the Ledger-Gazette, adjudicated a legal newspaper June 15, 1927, by Superior Court decree No. 224545; also operating as the Desert Mailer News, formerly known as the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster, State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714, Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

# August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Signature

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California



*Valltij Pala*s. 404 sierra hwy., palmdale

37404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870 The space above for filing stamp only

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (800) 680-1160

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Antelope Valley - LEO District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Antelope Valley - LEO District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley) of \$6,500,956, or 4.0%, for 2017, \$3,119,937, or 5.9%, for 2018 and \$3,146,647, or 5.6%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$12,767,540 or 27.6%.

Antelope Valley - LEO Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	52,024	6.4	50,826	5.9	62,000	5.6
*Nonresidential Metered Service	5,201	6.4	4,930	5.9	6,014	5.6
***						

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - LEO District of \$321,545, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$9,270, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$9,311, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$340,127 or 41.5%.

Antelope Valley - LEO Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	266,172	33.4	17,600	1.6	8,786	0.8
*Nonresidential Metered Service	19,804	33.4	1,053	1.6	526	0.8
***						

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

# Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - LEO with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Antelope Valley - LEO Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ . Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	157.67	7.24	4.6	6.84	4.1	9,66	5.6	
T : 10 : 1								

# Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

# Antelope Valley - LEO Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	157.67	37.57	33.4	3.56	1.8	1.61	0.8

# Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Antelope Valley - LEO District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 5015 West Avenue L-14, Unit 2. Quartz Hill, CA 93536, (800) 680-1160. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505

the South Antelope Valley Foothill News, adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California on May 29, 1967, Case Number NOC564 and adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation for the City of Lancaster, State of California on January 26, 1990, Case Number NOC10714, Modified October 22, 1990; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

# August 5, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the fore-going is true and correct.

Signature

Dated: August 5, 2015 Executed at Palmdale, California

70057 Press. 37404 SIERRA HWY., PALMDALE CA 93550 Telephone (661)267-4112/Fax (661)947-4870 \*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Antelope Valley - LEO District of \$321,545, or 39.2%, for 2017, \$9,270, or 0.8%, for 2018 and \$9,311, or 0.8%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$340,127 or 41.5%.

Antelope Valley - LEO Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	266,172	33.4	17,600	1.6	8,786	0.8
*Nonresidential Metered Service	19.804	33.4	1.053	1.6	526	0.8

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

### Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Palos Verdes and Antelope Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Antelope Valley - LEO with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15.709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Antelope Valley - LEO Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	157.67	7.24	4.6	6.84	4.1	9.66	5.6

### Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted **without consolidation**, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gallons (21 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. **These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.** 

### Antelope Valley - LEO Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	157.67	37.57	33.4	3.56	1.8	1.61	0.8

### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Anteiope Valley - LEO District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- · 78.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 21.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

### Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 5015 West Avenue L-14, Unit 2, Quartz Hill, CA 93536, (800) 680-1160. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

# The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc\_ca.gov or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx">http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx</a>.

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: <a href="mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov">public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</a> Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#2779960

Lake County Publishing

# **Lake County Record-Bee**

2150 S. Main St., PO Box 849 Lakeport, CA 95453 (707) 263-5636 advertising@record-bee.com

2110069

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU CBILLING DEPARTMENT IRENE ANDAL PO BOX 60460 LOS ANGELES, CA 90060

# Affidavit of Publication STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Lake

I, Mary Kim Pinkston, being first duly sworn, depose and say: That at and during all the dates and times herein mentioned I was, and now am the legal clerk of the Lake County Record-Bee, a newspaper published for the dissemination of local or telegraphic news and intelligence of a general character, having a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which is, and has been, established, printed and published at regular intervals, to-wit: Daily (except Sunday and Monday) in the City of Lakeport, County and State aforesaid, for more than one year preceding the date of the publication below mentioned, a newspaper of general circulation, as that term is defined by Section 6,000 et al, of the Government Code of the State of California, and is not and was not during any said times, a newspaper devoted to the interests or denomination, or for any members of such classes, professions, trades, callings, races or denominations.

That at, and during all of said dates and times herein mentioned, affiant had and now has knowledge and charge of all notes and advertisements appearing in said newspaper; that the notice of which the annexed is printed copy, was published each week in the regular and entire issue of one or more number of the said newspaper during the period and times of publication thereof, to-wit:

For 1 issue published therein on the following date, viz: 8/4/2015:

that said notice was published in said newspaper proper and not in a supplement; that said notice, as so published, was set in type not smaller than nonpareil, and was preceded with words printed in black face type not smaller than nonpareil, describing and expressing in general terms the purport and character of said notice, as fully appears from the exact copy of said notice, which is hereto annexed as aforesaid.

Executed this 21th day of September, 2015 at Lakeport, California. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing and that it is true and correct.

May Kim Pinkston, Legal Clerk

Legal No. 0005542699



r.BP9-07/28:15

in roroes at takeport, CA 93433
If YOU OBJECT to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney.
If YOU ARE A CREDITOR or a contingent reduition of the decreed way must file your clade. itor of the deceased, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from CLASSIFIEDS! with the court and mail a copy to the persona the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the Cali-

# **GOTTA FIND IT** NOW!

act business under the fictitious names listed above on: June 1, 2015 Registrants signature Pearl Hutton and Jacob Roe This statement was Cathy Saderlund the County Clerk of Lake County on July 10, 2015

PUB: Jul, 14, 21, 28, and Aug 4, 2015

• Sell a car or a boat • or just to browse all the great deals and for dozens of other great reasons!

Call to place an ad or have the Lake County Record Bee delivered directly to your home!

468-3500

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (707) 274-6624

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Comp for a Rate Increase in its Redwood Valley - Lucerne District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Redwood Valley - Luceme District in years 2017-2019. Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application
The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Bayshore and Redwood Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation - If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Bayshore and Redwood Valley) of \$7,286,895, or 9.1%, for 2017, \$1,299,446, or 1.5%, for 2018 and \$1,298,853, or 1.5%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$9,885,193 or 12.3%.

Redwood Valley - Lucerne Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 <b>\$</b> Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	96
General Metered Service	136,548	6.4	33,437	1.5	33,431	1.5

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Redwood Valley - Lucerne District of \$821,844, or 37.1%, for 2017, \$-46,035, or -1.5%, for 2018 and \$-45,863, or -1.5%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$729,945 or 33.0%

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

# Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

posed consolidation of the Bayshore and Redwood Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Redwood Valley - Lucerne with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter usin 3.740 gallons (5 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not in rcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Redwood Valley - Lucerne Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation										
Residential Customer	Current 3	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%			
5/8" x 3/4" meter	74.18	3.32	4.5	0.81	1.0	1.14	1.5			

Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation
If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 3,740 gallons (5 Cef) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Redwood Valley - I	nceme Ty	pical Resident	bal Custo	mer Bill Incre	ase will	iout Consolid	ation
Residential Customer	Currens	2017 \$	%	2018\$	%	2019\$	96
1		I		I			l
1	\ ≯	Increase		Increase		Increase	L

Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Redwood Valley-Lucerne District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 82.4% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 17.6% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 6125 East Highway 20. Lucerne. CA 95458, (707) 274-6624. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street. San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

The CPUC's Process
This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their stimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled

s a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the dependent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investorowned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

Stay Informed
The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at
<u>www.cpuc.ea.gov</u> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn h
participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPU

The comment of the comment of the comments or questions about the CPU

The comment of the comment ts or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below

San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: <u>public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u>
Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074

1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and

Notification of Application Füed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Kern River Valley District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justiful fithis increase for the Kern River Valley District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application
The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Bakersfield and Kern River Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Bakersfield and Kern River Valley) of \$8,480,623, or 10.8%, for 2017, \$3,424,323, or 3.9%, for 2018 and \$3,346,429, or 3.7%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$15,251,375 or 19.5%.

Type of Service Provided General Metered Service

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Kern River Valley District of \$627,708, or 10.0%, for 2017, \$17,079, or 0.2%, for 2018 and \$17,386, or 0.3%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three ye

| Kern River Valley Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service) | Type of Service Provided | Consolidation (by type of service) | Type of Service Provided | Consolidation (by type of service) | Type of Service Provided | Type of Service P

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Bakersfield and Kern River Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Kern River Valley with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 4,488 gallons (6 Cct) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Kern River Valley Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation									
l	Residential Customer	Current	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%	
l	5/8" x 3/4" meter	91.46	4.14	4.5	2.70	2.8	3.65	3.7	

<u>Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation</u>

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 4,488 gallons (6 CcJ of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Kem River Valley Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation Current 2017 \$ % 2018 \$ Increase Increase % 2019\$ % e % Increase % 1.2 0.25 0.3 91.46 6.69 7.3 1.13 5/8" x 3/4" meter

# Reasons for Increase Cal Water has been no

Call Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Kern River Valley District, Call Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

- 36.8% of the increase is for projected water supply costs 55.7% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvem
- 7.5% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expe

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 7138 Lake Isabella Boulevard, Lake Isabella, CA 93240, (760) 379-5336. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noor

The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Call Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA), will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investorowned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

Stay Informed
The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.puc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov
Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

# **Proof of Publication**

(2015.5 C.C.P.) (General Form)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

County of Kern

SS.

I the undersigned, am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the chief clerk of the KERN VALLEY SUN, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly, in the City of Lake Isabella, County of Kern, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Kern; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of the newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

08/05, 2015

# All in the year 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Minter Denty

**Date: August 05, 2015** 

6416 Lake Isabella Blvd. Ste. #A P. O. Box 3074 Lake Isabella, Ca. 93240 (760) 379-3667

179942



Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (760) 379-5336
Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company
for a Rate Increase in its Kern River Valley District
Application No. 15-07-015
California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission
(CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Kern River Valley District
in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) amplication eral Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application
The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Bakersfield and Kern River Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies

aministranve enricencies. With Consolidation - If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Bakersfield and Kern River Valley) of \$8,480,623, or 10.8%, for 2017, \$3,424,323, or 3.9%, for 2018 and \$3,364,29, or 3.7%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$15,251,375 or 19.5%.

### Kern River Valley Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	401,305	6.4	261,789	3.9	265,341	3.7

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is <u>not</u> approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Kern River Valley District of \$627,708, or 10.0%, for 2017, \$17,079, or 0.2%, for 2018 and \$17,386, or 0.3%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$662,173 or 10.6%.

# Kern River Valley Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	647,499	10.4	109,570	1.6	17,386	0.3

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's pro-posed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Bakersfield and Kern River Valley Districts is approved, the bills
for a typical residential customer in Kern River Valley with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 4,488 gallons (6 Ccf) of
water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except water per month the RSF credit.

### Kern River Valley Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

 Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	91,46	4.14	4.5	2.70	2.8	3,65	3.7

Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation
If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 4,488 gallons (6 Cef) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

# Kern River Valley Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Current	2017 \$	%	2018 \$	%	20198	0.4	
Residential Customer	S	Increase		Increase	. 70	Increase	70	
5/8" x 3/4" meter	91.46	6,69	7.3	1.13	1.2	0.25	0.3	

Reasons for Increase
Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Kern River Valley District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects some of the following components:

36.8% of the increase is for projected water supply costs

55.7% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

7.5% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses
In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017.
The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Cony of the Application

Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 7138 Lake Isabella Boulevard, Lake Isabella, CA 93240, (760) 379-5336. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon.

The CPUC's Process
This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investorowned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.">http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.</a>

Stay Informed

Stay Informed
The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.goy which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

you may contact the CPOC's Public Advisor's Office a Email: public advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074

Phone: 1-806-849-8390 (toll-meet) of 1-415-703-20/4
1-866-836-825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282
Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

(Pub SUN August 05, 2015)

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# County of Sonoma

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid: I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of The Press Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published DAILY IN THE City of Santa Rosa, County of Sonoma; and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Sonoma, State of California, under the date of November 29, 1951, Case number 34831, that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates to wit:

The Press Democrat - Legal Notices 8/4 - 8/4/2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Santa Rosa, California, on

Sep 18, 2015

Sture Thounks

# This space for County clerk's Filing Stamp

# Proof of Publication of

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (707) 869-0050

Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Redwood Valley - Unified District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Redwood Valley - Unified District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

<u>Ihe Application</u>
The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Bayshore and Redwood Valley Districts to improve affordability and develop administrative efficiencies.

With Consolidation - If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Bayshore and Redwood Valley) of \$7,286,895, or 9.1%, for 2017, \$1,299,446, or 1.5%, for 2018 and \$1,298,853, or 1.5%, for 2019. With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$9,885,193 or 12.3%.

Rectwood Valley - Unified Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
General Metered Service	50,903	6.4	12,465	1.5	12,461	1.5

Without Consolidation - If consolidation is not approved, Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Redwood Valley - Unified District of \$68,629, or 8.6%, for 2017, \$39,002, or 4.5%, for 2018 and \$39,038, or 4.3%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$146,669 or 18.4%.

Redwood Valley - Unified Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ increase	%
General Metered Service	75,877	9.6	46,399	5.4	39,038	4.3

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation
If Cal Water's proposed consolidation of the Bayshore and Redwood Valley Districts is approved, the bills for a typical residential customer in Redwood Valley - Unified with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 3,740 gallons (5 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits, except the RSF credit.

Redwood Valley - Unified Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

	Residential Customer	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
1	6/8" x 3/4" meter	89.57	4.31	1.06	1.1	1.39	1.6

Typical Customer Impact without Consolidation

If Cal Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 3,740 gallons (5 Ccf) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and oredits, except the RSF credit.

Redwood Valley - Unified Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	89.57	7.2	3.93	4.1	4.31	4,3

Reasons for Increase
Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Redwood Valley - Unified District, Cal Water's

requested increase reflects some of the following components:

• 82.4% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements

• 17.6% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application.

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 14034 Armstrong Woods Road, Guerneville, CA 95446, (707) 869-0050. An electronic or paper copy of said application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102,



The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an elternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Yoting Meeting. As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain

a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call [415] 703-1884, e-mail gra@cpuc, ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

Stay Informed
The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-966-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5262

606 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

2712945 - Pub Aug. 4, 2016



Lookup **Home** 

(To view Invoices and Proofs you must have Acrobat Reader Installed) Invoice

| Proof of Pub | 🖨

# Your Order is sent.

# **New Order**

**Copy Order** 

**Order Lookup** 

**Order Tracking** 

Open [0] Ready [0] Sent [0]

**Newspapers** 

**Accounting Reports** 

**Reset Password** 

Help

# **Customer Information**

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO. **Master Id Customer Name** 73179

Address 1720 NORTH FIRST STREET Phone 4083678239

City **SAN JOSE** Fax

State - Zip CA - 95112

# Ad Placement Information: Section of Newspaper and Type of Notice

**GOVERNMENT - DISPLAY PUBLIC NOTICE** Legal

# **Order Information**

**Billing Reference Attention Name** HANH LE/JAMES POLANCO No.

GENERAL RATE INCREASE IN THE BAYSHORE Sale/Hrg/Bid Date -**Ad Description** 

**DISTRICT (APPLICATION NO. 15-07-015)** 

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A

Special **Instructions** 

# **Orders Created**

Order	Newspaper	Publishing	Ad  Depth Line Count		Price	
No.	Name	Dates	Depth	Line Count	Price	
2780069	EXAMINER - SO. SAN FRANCISCO	08/05/2015	8.800000	-	\$352.00	



Lookup Home

(To view Invoices and Proofs you must have Acrobat Reader Installed) Invoice | Proof of Pub | 🖨

# Your Order is sent.

**New Order** 

**Copy Order** 

**Order Lookup** 

**Order Tracking** 

Open [0] Ready [0] Sent [0]

**Newspapers** 

**Accounting** 

**Reports** 

**Reset Password** 

Help

**Customer Information** 

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO. Master Id **Customer Name** 73179

Address 1720 NORTH FIRST STREET Phone 4083678239

City **SAN JOSE Fax** 

State - Zip CA - 95112

Ad Placement Information: Section of Newspaper and Type of Notice

Legal **GOVERNMENT - DISPLAY PUBLIC NOTICE** 

**Order Information** 

**Billing Reference Attention Name** KITTY WONG/JAMES POLANCO No.

**GENERAL RATE INCREASE IN THE Ad Description** Sale/Hrg/Bid Date -BAKERSFIELD DISTRICT (APPLICATION NO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A

15-07-015)

Special **Instructions** 

**Orders Created** 

Order	Newspaper	Publishing	А	d	Price	
No.	Name	Dates	Depth	Line Count	Price	
2777833	THE BAKERSFIELD CALIFORNIAN	07/28/2015	-	-	\$1,345.50	

# AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

# THE ALMANAC

450 Cambridge Ave., Palo Alto, California 94306 (650) 326-8210

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

No. CNS#2771842

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
I, the undersigned, state that I am, and at all times herein mentioned was, a citizen of the United States of America, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter, that I was at and during all said times and still am the principal clerk of the publisher of the Almanac, a newspaper of general circulation published weekly in the city of Menlo Park in said County of San Mateo, State of California; that said is and was at all times herein mentioned a newspaper of general circulation as that term is defined by Section 6008 of the Government Code of the State of California; that said was adjudged as such by Superior Court of the County of San Mateo, State of California, under date of October 20, 1969, Case Number 147530; that the notice of which the annexed is a true printed copy, was set in type not smaller than nonpareil and was preceded with words printed in black-face type not smaller than nonpareil, describing and expressing in general terms, the purport and character of the notice intended to be given; that said notice was published and printed in said newspaper on the following dates, to wit:
Dugust 5, 2015
August 5, 2015  August 5, 2015  Date of first publication in the Almanac
Date of first publication in the Almanac
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on Sextender 18,2015
at Palo Alto, California.
Signed Blamea Yo



\* A O O O O O O 3 8 8 O 4 9 7

# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (650) 561-9709 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Bear Gulch District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Bear Gulch District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Bear Gulch District of \$7,421,492, or 15.6%, for 2017, \$2,065,971, or 3.8%, for 2018 and \$2,060,974, or 3.6%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$11,548,437 or 24.3%.

# Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided 2017 \$	2018 \$ 2010 \$
Residential Metered Service 5,056 442	% Increase % 2019 \$ 6/
Nonresidential Metered Service 5,056,442	11.9 1.801.514 3.8 1.700.000
*Nonresidential Metered Service 869,335	11.9 276,603 3.8 274,332 3.6
Homesidenial Metered Conting and the	214,022 3.0

# \*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

# Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8° x 3/4" meter using 18,701 gallons (25 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include

### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

1	Residential Customer	Current	2017\$	%	2018\$	0/	2019 \$	
1	- //	<u> </u>	Increase	10	Increase	%	Increase	%
Ł	5/8" x 3/4" meter	172.941	20.56	11.9	7 32	3.8	7 35	36
_	_						L	3.0 1

### Reasons for Increase

٠.

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Bear Gulch District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 81.2% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 18.8% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, tocated at 3525 Alameda De Las Pulgas, Menlo Park, CA 94025, (650) 561-9709. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

# The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc. ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074

1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

# California Newspaper Service Bureau®

Daily Journal Corporation Public Notice Advertising Since 1934 Tel 1-800-788-7840 - Fax 1-800-474-9444

Local Offices and Representatives in Los Angeles, Santa Ana, San Diego, Riverside San Bernardino, San Francisco, Oakland, San Jose, Sacramento Special Services Available in Phoenix

# DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to any or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

# CHICO ENTERPRISE-RECORD On the following dates:

July 30, 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of \_\_\_\_\_July\_\_\_\_

Signature

# 2767513

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it

# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llama al número (530) 893-6300 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Chico District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Wister) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Chico District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The Application.

The CPUC requires Cat Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water areas accurately reflect the cost of providing water survice. As part of its GRC, Cal Water has proposed consolidating operations and rate-making for its Chock, Maryaville, Oroville, and Willows Districts to Improve affordability and develop administrative anticenses.

With Consolidation – If this consolidation is approved by the CPUC as proposed, Cal Water requests revenue increases for the combined district (Chico, Marywrille, Oroville, and Willows) of \$5,545.081, or 20.3%, (or 2017, \$676,337, or 1.7%, for 2018 and \$980,412, or 24%, for 2018, With consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$8,181,830 or 25,4%.

# Chico Estimated Revenue Increases with Consolidation (by type of service)

	Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
18.4	-23,B16	-0.1	419,594	2.4
18.4	-12,564	-0.1	220,424	2,4
1	8.4 8.4	8.4 -23,916	8.4 -23,916 -0.1	8.4 -23.916 -0.1 419,594

"Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not resident business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

Without Consolidation – If consolidation is not approved. Cal Water requests revenue increases for its Chico District of \$4,147,330, or 19,1%, for 2017, \$401,855, or 1,6%, for 2018 and \$697,548, or 2,7%, for 2019. Without consolidation, the total revenue increase over the three years would be \$5,246,743 or 24,2%.

### Chico Estimated Revenue Increases without Consolidation (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	1,668,151	12.0	476,195	2.9	433,315	2.7
*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,109,780	12.0	290,380	2.9	264,233	2.7

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The tables below detail the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 were adopted.

Typical Customer Impact with Consolidation of the Chico, Marysville, Crowlie and Willows Districts is approved, the bits for a typical residential outcomer in Chico with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gailons (2) Cof of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not Include surcharges and credits,

# Chico Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase with Consolidation

Residential Customer	rent \$	Increase	%	Increase	%	Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	46.48	8.72	18.6	-0.07	-0.1	1.34	2.4

If Cel Water's proposal is adopted without consolidation, the bill of a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 15,709 gattons (21 Cer) of water per month would resemble those below. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

# Chico Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase without Consolidation

Residential Customer	Cur-	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	46,48	5.71	12,3	1,55	3.0	1,43	2.7

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent infletion factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

Obtaining a Copy of the Application.

A copy of Cell Water's proposed GRC application and related oxhibits may be reviewed at Cell Water's orfice, located at 2222 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Parkway, Chico, CA 95928, (530) 893-8300. An electronic of paper copy of sald application and related exhibits will be furnished by Cell Water upon written request to Cellfornia Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to were at the CPU's Central Piles Office in San Francisco at 950 Ven Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, behaven the hours of 8100 gam, and noon.

Van Ness Avenue, Sen Francesco, The CPUC's Process.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to neceive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record upon which the resiment and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only lines who are portles of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposad decision determining whether to adopt all or part of CRI Water's request, modify it, or darry it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an elternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-mend utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service Consistent with reliable and serio service in Central with reliable and serio service. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economica, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1564, e-mail paragraphic actions of the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1564, e-mail paragraphic paragraphic or the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application.

Stay Informed
The CPUC offers a fire Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <u>years.cpuc.</u>
28, gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or quiestions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC Proble Activer's Office at the address noted below.

Email: public, advisor 8 Unice at the address noted below.

Email: public, advisor 8 Unice at the address noted below.

Write PUC Public Advisor's Office or 1-415-703-2074

505 Van Ness Avenue 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-2582

Ploss reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file of this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.



# **DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU**

P.O. Box 54026 LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90054-0026 PHONE: (213) 229-5300 FAX (213) 229-5481 FEDERAL TAX ID:95-4133299

# **LEGAL ADVERTISING** INVOICE

Invoice Number	Date				
B2777841	9/17/2015				
Customer Account Number 1124138669					
Customer Payment Reference					
Special Project					

For payment processing, please forward to:

Page 1 of 1

JAMES POLANCO CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO. 1720 NORTH FIRST STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95112 USA

# Ordered by:

KITTY WONG/JAMES POLANCO CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO. 1720 NORTH FIRST STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95112 USA

# **DUE UPON RECEIPT**

Type	Order No	Description	Amount
Invoice	B2777841	NOTICE OF APPLICATION FILING FOR A GENERAL RATE INCREASE DPN DISPLAY PUBLIC NOTICE 12900 CHICO ENTERPRISE-RECORD 07/30/2015 LEGAL SECTION-DISPLAY AD 4 COL. (3.944") X 10.5" CAMERA READY  \$ 15.29 * 4 Cols * 10.5 Inches * 1 Inserts 642.35	642.35

PLEASE PROCESS FOR PAYMENT IMMEDIATELY. DUE Please make check payable to: Daily Journal Corporation	Total: Payment Please P		642.35 0.00 642.35		
Please detach and return this portion with payment. To ensure proper credit to your account, please write your	Invoice Date 9/17/2015	Invoice Number B2777841	Customer Nur 1124138669	nber	
customer number on your check. If you have any questions about your account, please call 4082874866.	* A O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O				
Government Advertising - Division 1124	Please Pay			642.35	
DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU ATTN: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE PO BOX 54026 LOS ANGELES, CA 90054-0026	JAMES POLANO CALIFORNIA W 1720 NORTH FI SAN JOSE, CA	ATER SERVICE C RST STREET	O.		

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SOLANO, ss.

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the DIXON TRIBUNE, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly in the City of Dixon, County of Solano, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Solano, State of California, under the date of April 21, 1952, Case Number 25594; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil) has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof

all the year 2015

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature)

(This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp)

Proof of Publication of

PUBLIC NOTICE

Paste Clipping
of Notice
SECURELY
in This Space

Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (707) 678-5928

# Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its Dixon District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Dixon District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Dixon District of \$799,774, or 26.2%, for 2017, \$131,703, or 3.4%, for 2018 and \$131,324, or 3.3%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$1,062,800 or 34.8%.

### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	655,537	27.3	133,559	4.4	104,066	3.3
*Nonresidential Metered Service	171,197	27.3	34,982	4.4	27,257	3.3

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as businese, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8° x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

# Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	67.73	18.41	27.2	3.75	4.4	2.96	3.3

### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Dixon District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 4.7% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 45.3% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflationescalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 201 South First Street, Dixon, CA 95620, (707) 678-5928. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determing whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting. Voting Meeting.

ora.ca.gov/default.aspx

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www. cpuc ca goy which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below

Email

public advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff

CNS#2778296

# LOS ANGELES INDEPENDENT

3731 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 840, LOS ANGELES, CA 90010 Telephone (323) 556-5720 / Fax (213) 835-0584

Debbie Valadez SANITATION/OFFICE ENGIDEPT 1955 WORKMAN MILL RD./POB 4998 WHITTIER, CA - 90607

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California County of LOS ANGELES

Notice Type: BID - NOTICE INVITING BIDS

Ad Description:

Benson Avenue Extension Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the L ANGELES INDEPENDENT, a newspaper published in the English langua the city of LOS ANGELES, county of LOS ANGELES, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of LOS ANGELES, State of California, under date 08/13/1987, Case No. 392931. That the notice, of the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and en issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

07/30/2015

Executed on: 07/30/2015 At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true correct.

Signature



# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame

al número (323) 722-8601 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate Increase in its East Los Angeles District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the East Los Angeles District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its East Los Angeles District of \$4,505,021, or 13.5%, for 2017, \$1,312,206, or 3.5%, for 2018 and \$1,311,744, or 3.4%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$7,128,971 or 21.4%.

### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
Residential Metered Service	1,887,272	11.6	743,623	4.2	620,010	6.5
*Nonresidential Metered Service	2,038,139	11.6	829,648	4.2	691,734	6.5

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

# Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Cus- tomer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	63.43	7.28	11.5	2.87	4.1	2.47	3.4

# Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the East Los Angeles District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 54.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 45.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 20,17-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 2000 South Tubeway, Commerce, CA 90040, (323) 722-8601. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

# The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have

# **PROOF OF PUBLICATION**

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California )
County of LOS ANGELES ) ss

Notice Type: BID - NOTICE INVITING BIDS

Ad Description:

Benson Avenue Extension Trunk Sewer Rehabilitation

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the LANGELES INDEPENDENT, a newspaper published in the English langua the city of LOS ANGELES, county of LOS ANGELES, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of LOS ANGELES, State of California, under date 08/13/1987, Case No. 392931. That the notice, of we have an example of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates. to-wit:

07/30/2015

Executed on: 07/30/2015 At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true correct.

Signature Signature

Service 2,038,139 11.6 629,646 4.2 691,734 6.5

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/8" x 3/4" meter using 9,725 gallons (13 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

	•					_	
Residential Cus-	Current	2017 \$ Increase	0/	2018\$	0/	2019\$	0/
tomer	\$	Increase	70	Increase	70	Increase	70
5/8" x 3/4" meter	63.43	7.28	11.5	2.87	4.1	2.47	3.4

### Reasons for increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the East Los Angeles District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- . 54.6% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements
- 45.4% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based, escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between GRC test years.

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 2000 South Tubeway, Commerce, CA 90040, (323) 722-8601. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

### The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Cal Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx.

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Email:

public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone:

Write:

1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07:015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

CNS#2778289

# Daily Breeze

21250 HAWTHORNE BLVE, STE 170 \* TORRANCE CALIFORNIA 90503-4077 Direct: (310) 543-6635 Fax: (310) 316-6827

# PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(201 5.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the THE DAILY BREEZE							
		<u>-</u>					
a newspaper of gene published	eral circulation	, printed	and				
<del></del>							
in the City of	To	rrance*					
County of Los Ange been adjudged a new by the Superior Cou State of California, u	les, and which wspaper of ge rt of County of	newspa neral circ Los Ang	ulation				
	June 10, 1974						
Case Number	SWC7146	<del></del>					
copy (set in type not been published in ea said newspaper and	that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement there of on the following dates, to-wit						
	July 31,						
all in the year	2015						
the foregoing is true Dated at Ton	and correct.						
California this 31		Judy	2015				

\*The Daily Breeze circulation includes the following cities: Carson, Compton, Culver City, El Segundo, Gardena, Harbor City, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Lomita, Long Beach, Manhattan Beach, Palos Verdes Peninsula, Palos Verdes, Rancho Palos Verdes, Rancho Palos Verdes Estates, Redondo Beach, San Pedro, Santa Monica, Torrance and Wilmington

# Para más información sobre este aviso por favor llame al número (310) 257-1400 Notification of Application Filed by California Water Service Company for a Rate increase in its Hermosa-Redondo District Application No. 15-07-015

California Water Service (Cal Water) has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates for providing water service. To justify this increase for the Hermosa-Redondo District in years 2017-2019, Cal Water has presented an estimate of its costs in a formal CPUC proceeding, called a General Rate Case (GRC) application.

### The Application

The CPUC requires Cal Water to submit GRC applications every three years to ensure that water rates accurately reflect the cost of providing water service. Cal Water requests revenue increases in its Hermosa-Redondo District of \$1,636,063, or 5.6%, for 2017, \$1,903,023 or 5.2%, for 2018 and \$1,902,128, or 5.8%, for 2019. The total revenue increase over the three years would be \$5,441,214 or 18.6%.

### Estimated Revenue Increases (by type of service)

Type of Service Provided	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	₩.
Residential Metered Service	1,679,522	10.3	1,228,920	6.8	1.115.704	5.8
*Nonresidential Metered Service	1,165,202	10.3	850,382	6.8	772,040	5.8
Reclaimed Metered Service	21,695	10.3	15,843	6.8	14,384	5.8

\*Nonresidential Metered Service applies to all customer classes that are not residential (such as business, multi-family, and public authority customers) and do not have a separate tariff.

### Typical Customer Impact

The table below details the increase a typical residential customer would see in his or her bill if Call Water's proposed rates for 2017, 2018, and 2019 are approved by the CPUC as requested. The average residential customer bills in the table show a typical residential customer with a 5/5" x 3/4" meter using 8,229 gallons (11 Ccf) of water per month. These numbers do not include surcharges and credits.

### Typical Residential Customer Bill Increase

Residential Customer	Current \$	2017 \$ Increase	%	2018 \$ Increase	%	2019 \$ Increase	%
5/8" x 3/4" meter	54.11	5.55	10.3	4.05	6.8	3.70	5.8

### Reasons for Increase

Cal Water has been providing water to California communities for nearly 90 years, and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their useful lives. For the Hermosa-Redondo District, Cal Water's requested increase reflects the following components:

- 73.8% of the increase is for water infrastructure improvements 26.2% of the increase is for projected operation and maintenance expenses

In the filing, Cal Water's proposed rates for 2017-2019 would become effective on January 1, 2017. The rate increases for 2018 and 2019 are derived using the most recent inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The CPUC requires that Cal Water use a simplified, inflation-based escalation methodologic for its most and control of the con based, escalation GRC test years. escalation methodology for its proposed rates for those years that are in between

# Obtaining a Copy of the Application

A copy of Cal Water's proposed GRC application and related exhibits may be reviewed at Cal Water's office, located at 2632 West 237th Street, Torrance, CA 90505, (310) 257-1400. An electronic or paper copy of the application and related exhibits will be provided by Cal Water upon written request to California Water Service Company, 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598. Copies are also available to review at the CPUC's Central Files Office in San Francisco at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 am and noon deity. 8:00 a.m. and noon daily.

# The CPUC's Process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents, necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision determining whether to adopt all or part of Call Water's request, modify it, or deny it. The CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision and the issue will be decided at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-displant staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail <a href="mailto:gra@cpuc.ca.gov">gra@cpuc.ca.gov</a> or visit ORA's website at <a href="http://ora.ca.gov/default.asox">http://ora.ca.gov/default.asox</a>.

# Stay Informed

The CPUC offers a free Subscription Service which is available on the CPUC website at <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov">www.cpuc.ca.gov</a> which allows you to follow this proceeding. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC process, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at the address noted below.

Fmail: Write

Phone:

public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov CPUC Public Advisor's Office

CPUC Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference Cal Water's Application No. 15-07-015 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.



# Ехнівіт С



# AFFIDAVIT OF ELECTRONIC POSTING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)
COUNTIES OF ALAMEDA, BUTTE, FRESNO,	)
GLENN, KERN, LAKE, LOS ANGELES,	)
MARIN, MONTEREY, SAN JOAQUIN,	)
SAN MATEO, SANTA CLARA, SANTA CRUZ,	)
SOLANO, SONOMA, TULARE, VENTURA,	)
AND YUBA	)

Robert L Norton, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is now and during all the time herein mentioned the I.T. Operations Manager of Information Technology for California Water Service Company, including the Districts of Bakersfield, Bear Gulch, Chico, Dixon, East Los Angeles, Rancho Dominguez, King City, Livermore, Los Altos, Marysville, Oroville, Salinas, Bayshore, Selma, Stockton, Visalia, Willows, Westlake, Antelope Valley, Kern River Valley, and Redwood Valley, all within the State of California.

That during the period of July 21, 2015 to September 17, 2015, he observed the depositing to the United States Mail, with postage prepaid, notices of CPUC "Notice of Application Filing for a General Rate Increase" for those California Water Service customers not enrolled in e-billing who are served in Bakersfield, Atherton, Menlo Park, Portola Valley, Woodside, Chico, Hamilton City, Dixon, Los Angeles, Commerce, Montebello, Vernon, Bell, Carson, Compton, Torrance, Long Beach, Harbor City, Palos Verdes, Palos Verdes Estates, Rolling Hills, Rolling Hills Estates, Lomita, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, King City, Livermore, Los Altos, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Mountain View, Marysville, Oroville, Salinas, Watsonville, San Carlos, San Mateo, South San Francisco, Selma, Stockton, Visalia, Willows, Westlake Village, Mojave, Lake Hughes, Leona Valley, Lancaster, Wofford Heights, Bodfish, Onyx, Kernville, Lake Isabella, Squirrel Valley, Guerneville, Dillon Beach, Santa Rosa, Duncan Mills, and Lucerne customers. The purpose of these notices is to inform customers of Cal Water's proposed request and to explain to customers how they can provide comments to the CPUC and receive information for participating in the formal application process.

The mailing occurred on the following dates to the affected customers:

Date Mailed	Billing Cycle(s)
July 21, 2015	M-13, B-13 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 22, 2015	M-14, B-14 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 23, 2015	M-15, B-15 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 24, 2015	M-16, B-16 (Bi-Monthly cycle)

# CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY

1720 NORTH FIRST STREET • SAN JOSE, CA 95112-4598		
July 27, 2015	M-17	, B-17 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 28, 2015		, B-18 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 29, 2015	M-19	
July 30, 2015	M-20	
July 31, 2015	M-00	
August 3, 2015	M-00	-1
August 4, 2015	M-01	
August 5, 2015	M-02	
August 6, 2015	M-03	
August 7, 2015	M-04	
August 10, 2015	M-05	
August 11, 2015	M-06	
August 12, 2015	M-07	
August 13, 2015	M-08	
August 14, 2015	M-09	
August 17, 2015	M-10	
August 18, 2015		E-11 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
August 19, 2015		E-12 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
August 14, 2015		ed Insert "Special Mailing" for selected customers in Chico,
		, Willows, and Oroville. Cycles M-01 through M-04 and Cycles
		through M-20 only.
August 20, 2015	E-13	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Bodfish (Kern River Valley District)
August 20, 2015	E-13	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 21, 2015	E-14	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 24, 2015	E-15	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 25, 2015	E-16	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 27, 2015	E-18	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
September 2, 2015	B-01	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Armstrong, Noel Heights
G . 1 . 4 . 2 . 4 . 7		and Rancho Del Paradiso (Redwood Valley District)
September 4, 2015	B-03	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Coast Springs (Redwood Valley District)
September 17, 2015	B-11	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lakeland (Kern River Valley District)
		These customers were not able to receive the insert in July because this
		cycle billed before the 7-21-2015 start date of the insert processing. Sept.17,
		2015 was the next time this "bi-monthly" cycle was processed.

I.T. OPERATIONS MANAGER

RØBERT L. NORTON

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO.

1720 North First Street San Jose, CA 95112

# AFFIDAVIT OF ELECTRONIC POSTING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	.)
	)
COUNTIES OF ALAMEDA, BUTTE, FRESNO,	)
GLENN, KERN, LAKE, LOS ANGELES,	)
MARIN, MONTEREY, SAN JOAQUIN,	)
SAN MATEO, SANTA CLARA, SANTA CRUZ,	)
SOLANO, SONOMA, TULARE, VENTURA,	)
AND YUBA	)

Dominick Cancilla, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is now and during all the time herein mentioned the Corporate Communications Specialist for California Water Service Company, including the Districts of Bakersfield, Bear Gulch, Chico, Dixon, East Los Angeles, Rancho Dominguez, King City, Livermore, Los Altos, Marysville, Oroville, Salinas, Bayshore, Selma, Stockton, Visalia, Willows, Westlake, Antelope Valley, Kern River Valley, and Redwood Valley, all within the State of California.

That on July 21, 2015, he made notices of the CPUC-approved "Notice of Application Filing for a General Rate Increase" available to California Water Service's customers when they access their electronic bills on or after the mailing date for their billing cycle listed below. The customers are in Bakersfield, Atherton, Menlo Park, Portola Valley, Woodside, Chico, Hamilton City, Dixon, Los Angeles, Commerce, Montebello, Vernon, Bell, Carson, Compton, Torrance, Long Beach, Harbor City, Palos Verdes, Palos Verdes Estates, Rolling Hills, Rolling Hills Estates, Lomita, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, King City, Livermore, Los Altos, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Mountain View, Marysville, Oroville, Salinas, Watsonville, San Carlos, San Mateo, South San Francisco, Selma, Stockton, Visalia, Willows, Westlake Village, Mojave, Lake Hughes, Leona Valley, Lancaster, Wofford Heights, Bodfish, Onyx, Kernville, Lake Isabella, Squirrel Valley, Guerneville, Dillon Beach, Santa Rosa, Duncan Mills, and Lucerne customers. The purpose of these notices is to inform customers of Cal Water's proposed request and to explain to customers how they can provide comments to the CPUC and receive information for participating in the formal application process.

The mailing occurred on the following dates to the affected customers:

Date Mailed	Billing Cycle(s)
July 21, 2015	M-13, B-13 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 22, 2015	M-14, B-14 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 23, 2015	M-15, B-15 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 24, 2015	M-16, B-16 (Bi-Monthly cycle)

July 27, 2015	M-17,	B-17 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 28, 2015		B-18 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
July 29, 2015	M-19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
July 30, 2015	M-20	
July 31, 2015	M-00	
August 3, 2015	M-00-	-1
August 4, 2015	M-01	
August 5, 2015	M-02	
August 6, 2015	M-03	
August 7, 2015	M-04	
August 10, 2015	M-05	
August 11, 2015	M-06	
August 12, 2015	M-07	
August 13, 2015	M-08	
August 14, 2015	M-09	
August 17, 2015	M-10	
August 18, 2015	-	E-11 (Bi-Monthly cycle)
August 19, 2015	M-12, E-12 (Bi-Monthly cycle)	
August 14, 2015		ed Insert "Special Mailing" for selected customers in Chico,
		, Willows, and Oroville. Cycles M-01 through M-04 and Cycles
	M-13 through M-20 only.	
August 20, 2015	E-13	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Bodfish (Kern River Valley District)
August 20, 2015	E-13	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 21, 2015	E-14	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 24, 2015	E-15	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 25, 2015	E-16	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
August 27, 2015	E-18	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lucerne (Redwood Valley District)
September 2, 2015	B-01	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Armstrong, Noel Heights
0 / 1 / 0017	D 00	and Rancho Del Paradiso (Redwood Valley District)
September 4, 2015	B-03	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Coast Springs (Redwood Valley District)
September 17, 2015	B-11	"Bi-Monthly" customers in Lakeland (Kern River Valley District)
		These customers were not able to receive the insert in July because this cycle
		billed before the 7-21-2015 start date of the insert processing. Sept.17, 2015
		was the next time this "bi-monthly" cycle was processed.

CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS SPECIALIST

Dominick Cancilla

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE CO.

2632 West 237th Street

Torrance, CA 90505